arXiv:1102.3014v3 [math.PR] 7 May 2012

Fractional backward stochastic differential equations and fractional backward variational inequalities

Lucian Maticiuc [a,1], Tianyang Nie [a,b,c,*,2],

[a] Faculty of Mathematics, "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" University,
Carol I Blvd., no. 11, Iasi, 700506, Romania
[b] School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, China
[c] Laboratoire de Mathématiques, CNR-UMR 6205 Université de Bretagne Occidentale,
29285 Brest Cédex 3, France

January 12, 2013

Abstract

In the framework of fractional stochastic calculus, we study the existence and the uniqueness of the solution for a backward stochastic differential equation, formally written as:

$$\begin{cases} -dY(t) = f(t,\eta(t),Y(t),Z(t))dt - Z(t)\delta B^H(t), & t\in[0,T], \\ Y(T)=\xi, \end{cases}$$

where η is a stochastic process given by $\eta(t)=\eta(0)+\int_0^t \sigma(s)\delta B^H(s)$, $t\in[0,T]$, and B^H is a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter greater than $1/2$. The stochastic integral used in above equation is the divergence type integral. Based on Hu and Peng's paper, *BDSEs driven by fBm*, SIAM J. Control Optim. (2009), we develop a rigorous approach for this equation. Moreover, we study the existence of the solution for the multivalued backward stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} -dY(t) + \partial\varphi(Y(t))dt \ni f(t,\eta(t),Y(t),Z(t))dt - Z(t)\delta B^H(t), & t\in[0,T], \\ Y(T)=\xi, \end{cases}$$

where $\partial\varphi$ is a multivalued operator of subdifferential type associated with the convex function φ.

AMS Subject Classification: 60H10, 47J20, 60H05, 60G22

Keywords: Backward stochastic differential equation, fractional Brownian motion, divergence type integral, backward stochastic variational inequality, subdifferential operator.

---

[*] Corresponding author.

[1] The work of this author is supported by POSDRU/89/1.5/S/49944 project.

[2] The work of this author is supported by the Marie Curie ITN Project, "Controlled Systems", no.213841-2.

E-mail addresses: lucianmaticiuc@yahoo.com (Lucian Maticiuc), nietianyang@163.com (Tianyang Nie)

1 Introduction

General backward stochastic differential equations (BSDEs) driven by a Brownian motion were first studied by Pardoux and Peng in [15], where they also gave a probabilistic interpretation for the viscosity solution of semilinear partial differential equations (PDEs). In 1998 Pardoux and Răşcanu [16] studied backward stochastic differential equations involving a subdifferential operator (which are often called backward stochastic variational inequalities, BSVIs), and they used them in order to generalize the Feymann-Kac type formula to represent the solution of multivalued parabolic PDEs (also called parabolic variational inequalities, PVIs). Hu and Peng [10] were the first to study nonlinear BSDEs governed by a fractional Brownian motion (fBm). Our work, based on [10], has the objective to develop a rigorous approach for such BSDEs driven by a fBm and to extend the discussion to fractional BSVIs. Our paper is, to our best knowledge, the first one to study fractional BSVIs.

Let us recall that, for $H \in (0,1)$, a (one dimensional) fBm $(B^H(t))_{t\geq 0}$ with Hurst parameter H is a continuous and centered Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}\left[B^H(t)B^H(s)\right] = \frac{1}{2}(t^{2H} + s^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}), \quad t,s \geq 0.$$

For $H = 1/2$, the fBm is a standard Brownian motion. If $H > 1/2$, then $B^H(t)$ has a long-range dependence, which means that, for $r(n) := \operatorname{cov}(B^H(1), B^H(n+1) - B^H(n))$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r(n) = \infty$. Moreover, B^H is self-similar, i.e., $B^H(at)$ has the same law as $a^H B^H(t)$ for any $a > 0$. Since there are many models of physical phenomena and finance which exploit the self-similarity and the long-range dependence, fBms are a very useful tool to characterize such type of problems.

However, since fBms are not semimartingales nor Markov processes when $H \neq 1/2$, we cannot use the classical theory of stochastic calculus to define the fractional stochastic integral. In essence, two different integration theories with respect to fractional Brownian motion have been defined and studied. The first one, originally due to Young [18], concerns the pathwise (with ω as a parameter) Riemann-Stieltjes integral which exists if the integrand has Hölder continuous paths of order $\alpha > 1 - H$. But it turns out that this integral has the properties comparable to the Stratonovich integral, which leads to difficulties in applications.

The second one concerns the divergence operator (or Skorohod integral), defined as the adjoint of the derivative operator in the framework of the Malliavin calculus. This approach was introduced by Decreusefond and Üstünel [6], and it was very intensely studied, e.g., in Alòs and Nualart [3] for $H > 1/2$, and in Alòs, Mazet and Nualart [1] for $H < 1/2$.

An equivalent approach consists in defining, for $H \in (1/2, 1)$, the stochastic integral based on Wick product (introduced by Duncan, Hu and Pasik-Duncan in [7]), as the limit of Riemann sums. We mention that, in contrast to the pathwise integral, the expectation of this integral is zero for a large class of integrands.

Concerning the study of BSDEs in the fractional framework, the major problem is the absence of a martingale representation type theorem with respect to a fBm. For the first time, Hu and Peng [10] overcome this problem, in the case $H > 1/2$. For this, they used the notion of quasi-conditional expectation $\hat{E}$ (introduced in Hu and Øksendal [9]). In our paper, we consider the BSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dY(t) = f(t, \eta(t), Y(t), Z(t))dt - Z(t)\delta B^H(t), \quad t \in [0,T], \\ Y(T) = g(\eta(T)), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

driven by a fBm B^H and governed by the process $\eta(t) = \eta(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T]$, where $\sigma : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is deterministic, continuous function.

A special care has to be payed here to the stochastic integral in the BSDE (1). In [10] this stochastic integral is the Wick product one, but the Itô formula and the integration by part formula they used were established for the Itô-Skorohod type integral (see Definition 6.11 [8]). In our approach we use as stochastic integral the divergence operator.

Concerning the coefficient σ of the driving process η, Hu and Peng [10] supposed that

$$\text{there exists } c_0 > 0 \text{ such that } \inf_{t\in[0,T]} \frac{\hat{\sigma}(t)}{\sigma(t)} \geq c_0,$$

for $\hat{\sigma}(t) := \int_0^t \phi(t-r)\sigma(r)dr,\ t \in [0,T]$. Here in our manuscript we work without such a condition. Let us also mention that in [10], it is assumed that $\eta(t) = \eta(0) + \int_0^t b(s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T]$. However, Proposition 4.5 [10] is not proved for such kind of η. Indeed, in their proof the authors use a quasi-conditional expectation formula

$$\hat{E}[f(\eta(T))|\mathcal{F}_t] = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} f(\eta(t)),$$

which is only applicable for η of the form $\eta(t) = \eta(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T]$ (see Theorem 3.8 [10]). That is why we adopt in our paper this latter form of η.

Based on the above described framework we make a rigorous approach to prove the existence and the uniqueness for BSDE (1). This approach includes, in particular, first a rigorous discussion of the equation

$$Y(t) = g(\eta(T)) + \int_t^T f(s, \eta(s))\, ds - \int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T].$$

After, the existence for BSDE (1) is proved by using a fixed point theorem over an appropriate Banach space. Let us mention that in [10] the fixed point theorem was applied, but the obtained fixed point (Y,Z) was not checked to be a solution and in particular, the existence of the integral $\int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s)$ was not discussed.

Based on our results on BSDE driven by a fBm and on Pardoux and Răşcanu [16] on BSVI governed by a standard Brownian motion, we consider the following fractional BSVI

$$\begin{cases} -dY(t) + \partial\varphi(Y(t))dt \ni f(t, \eta(t), Y(t), Z(t))dt - Z(t)\delta B^H(t)\,, & t \in [0,T], \\ Y(T) = g(\eta(T)), \end{cases}$$

where $\partial\varphi$ is the subdifferential of a convex lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) function $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to (-\infty, +\infty]$. The existence of the solution will be proved.

Now we give the outline of our paper: In Section 2 we recall some definitions and results about fractional stochastic integrals and the related Itô formula. We present the assumptions and some auxiliary results including the Itô formula w.r.t. the divergence type integral in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to prove the existence and the uniqueness result for BSDE driven by a fBm. In Section 5, we study the existence for fractional BSVI governed by a fBm. Finally, in the Appendix, we prove a more general Itô formula based on Theorem 8 [3] and a auxiliary lemma.

2 Preliminaries: Fractional stochastic calculus

In this section we shall recall some important definitions and results concerning the Malliavin calculus, the stochastic integral with respect to a fBm and Itô's formula. For a deeper discussion we refer the reader to [3], [5], [7], [8] and [13].

Throughout our paper, we assume that the Hurst parameter H always satisfies $H > 1/2$. Define

$$\phi(x) = H(2H-1)|x|^{2H-2},\ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let us denote by $|\mathcal{H}|$ the Banach space of measurable functions $f : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|f\|^2_{|\mathcal{H}|} := \int_0^T \int_0^T \phi(u-v)|f(u)||f(v)|dudv < +\infty.$$

Given $\xi, \eta \in |\mathcal{H}|$, we put

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_T = \int_0^T \int_0^T \phi(u-v)\xi\,(u)\,\eta\,(v)\,dudv \ \text{ and } \|\xi\|^2_T := \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_T. \tag{2}$$

Then $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_T$ is a Hilbert scalar product. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the completion of the space of step functions in $|\mathcal{H}|$ under this scalar product. We emphasize that the elements of $\mathcal{H}$ can be distributions. Moreover, from [11] we have the continuous embedding $L^2([0,T]) \subset L^{\frac{1}{H}}([0,T]) \subset |\mathcal{H}| \subset \mathcal{H}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_T$ denote the set of elementary random variables of the form

$$F = f\left(\int_0^T \xi_1(t)dB^H(t), \ldots, \int_0^T \xi_n(t)dB^H(t)\right),$$

where f is a polynomial function of n variables and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$. The Malliavin derivative D^H of an elementary variable $F \in \mathcal{P}_T$ is defined by

$$D^H_s F = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\left(\int_0^T \xi_1(t)dB^H(t), \ldots, \int_0^T \xi_n(t)dB^H(t)\right)\xi_i(s),\ s \in [0,T].$$

We denote by $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ the Banach space defined as the completion of $\mathcal{P}_T$ w.r.t. the following norm

$$\|F\|_{1,2} = \left[\mathbb{E}(|F|^2) + \mathbb{E}\left(\|D^H_s F\|^2_T\right)\right]^{1/2},\ F \in \mathcal{P}_T.$$

Hence, $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ consists of all $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ such that there exists a sequence $F_n \in \mathcal{P}_T$, $n \geq 1$, which satisfies

$$F_n \longrightarrow F \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P),$$
$$\left(D^H F_n\right)_{n\geq 1} \text{ is convergent in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H}).$$

Moreover, from Proposition 1.2.1 [13] we have that $D^H = \left(D^H_s\right)_{s\in[0,T]}$ is a closable operator from $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ to $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H})$. Thus, $D^H F = \lim_{n\to\infty} D^H G_n$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H})$, for every sequence $G_n \in \mathcal{P}_T,\ n \geq 1$, which satisfies

$$G_n \longrightarrow F \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P),$$
$$\left(D^H G_n\right)_{n\geq 1} \text{ is convergent in } L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H}).$$

Let us introduce also another derivative

$$\mathbb{D}_t^H F = \int_0^T \phi(t-v) D_v^H F dv, \quad t \in [0,T]. \tag{3}$$

We also need the adjoint operator of the derivative D^H. This operator is called divergence operator, it is denoted by $\delta(\cdot)$ and represents the divergence type integral with respect to a fBm (see, e.g., [5] for more details).

Definition 1 *We say that a process $u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H})$ belongs to the domain $Dom(\delta)$, if there exists $\delta(u) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, such that the following duality relationship is satisfied*

$$\mathbb{E}(F\delta(u)) = \mathbb{E}(\langle D_{\cdot}^H F, u\rangle_T), \qquad \textit{for all } F \in \mathcal{P}_T. \tag{4}$$

Remark 2 *In (4), the class $\mathcal{P}_T$ can be replaced by $\mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ (see [5] Definition 2.2.2 and 2.2.3). If $u \in Dom(\delta)$, $\delta(u)$ is unique, and we define the divergence type integral of $u \in Dom(\delta)$ w.r.t. fBm B^H by putting $\int_0^T u(s)\delta B^H(s) := \delta(u)$.*

Let us recall a result about a sufficient condition for the existence of the divergence type integral. For this we use the Itô-Skorohod type stochastic integral introduced in Definition 6.11 [8], which is defined in the spirit of the anticipative Skorohod integral w.r.t. Brownian motion in [14]).

Theorem 3 *[Proposition 6.25, [8]] We denote by $\mathbb{L}_H^{1,2}$ the space of all stochastic processes $u : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \to \mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|u\|_T^2 + \int_0^T \int_0^T |\mathbb{D}_s^H u(t)|^2 ds dt\right) < \infty. \tag{5}$$

If $u \in \mathbb{L}_H^{1,2}$, then the Itô-Skorohod type stochastic integral $\int_0^T u(s) dB^H(s)$ defined by Proposition 6.11 [8] exists and coincides with the divergence type integral (see Theorem 6.23 [8]). Moreover,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T u(s) dB^H(s)\right] = 0, \\ \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T u(s) dB^H(s)\right]^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\|u\|_T^2 + \int_0^T \int_0^T \mathbb{D}_s^H u(t) \mathbb{D}_t^H u(s) ds dt\right). \end{cases}$$

Let us finish this section by giving an Itô formula for the divergence type integral. Due to Theorem 8 [3], the following Itô formula holds.

Theorem 4 *Let ψ be a function of class $C^2(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that the process $(u_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}_{loc}^{2,2}(|\mathcal{H}|)$ and that the integral $X_t = \int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s)$ is almost surely continuous. Assume that $\left(\mathbb{E}|u|^2\right)^{1/2}$ belong to $\mathcal{H}$. Then, for each $t \in [0,T]$, the following formula holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(X_t) = \psi(0) &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(X_s) u_s \delta B^H(s) \\ &+ H(2H-1)\int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(X_s) u_s \int_0^T |s-r|^{2H-2}\left(\int_0^s D_r u_\theta \delta B^H(\theta) dr\right) ds \\ &+ H(2H-1)\int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(X_s) u_s \left(\int_0^s u_\theta |s-\theta|^{2H-2} d\theta\right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5 *The above theorem can be generalized, see Theorem 34 in the Appendix. In particular, Corollary 36 tells us:*

Let $f : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be deterministic continuous functions. If

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t g_s ds + \int_0^t f_s \delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T],$$

and $\psi \in C^{1,2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, X_t) = \psi(0, X_0) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s, X_s) dX_s \\ + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s, X_s) \ \left(\frac{d}{ds}\|f\|_s^2\right) ds,\ t \in [0,T]. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

3 Assumptions and auxiliary results

3.1 Assumptions

Let us consider the Itô-type process

$$\eta(t) = \eta(0) + \int_0^t \sigma(s)\delta B^H(s)\,,\ t \in [0,T], \tag{7}$$

where the coefficients $\eta(0)$ and σ satisfy:

(H_1) $\eta(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a given constant;

(H_2) $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a deterministic continuous function such that $\sigma(t) \neq 0$, for all $t \in [0,T]$.

Let

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) := \int_0^t \phi(t-r)\sigma(r) dr,\ t \in [0,T]. \tag{8}$$

We recall that (see (2))

$$\|\sigma\|_t^2 = H(2H-1)\int_0^t \int_0^t |u-v|^{2H-2}\sigma(u)\,\sigma(v)\,dudv.$$

Remark 6 *The function $\hat{\sigma}$ defined by (8) can be written in the following form:*

$$\hat{\sigma}(t) = H(2H-1)t^{2H-1}\int_0^1 (1-u)^{2H-2}\,\sigma(tu)\,du,\ t \in [0,T].$$

Moreover, we observe that $\|\sigma\|_t^2$ is continuously differentiable with respect to t, and

$$\begin{aligned} &(a) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\sigma\|_t^2\right) = 2\sigma(t)\,\hat{\sigma}(t) > 0,\ t \in (0,T], \\ &(b) \quad \text{for a suitable constant } M > 0,\ \frac{1}{M}t^{2H-1} \leq \frac{\hat{\sigma}(t)}{\sigma(t)} \leq Mt^{2H-1},\ t \in [0,T]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Our objective is to study the following BSDE driven by the fBm B^H and the above introduced stochastic process η:

$$\begin{cases} -dY(t) = f(t, \eta(t), Y(t), Z(t))dt - Z(t)\delta B^H(t), \ t \in [0, T], \\ Y(T) = \xi. \end{cases}$$

Here the stochastic integral is understood as the divergence operator. We make the following assumptions on the function f and the terminal condition ξ:

(H_3) The function $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the space $C^{0,1}_{pol}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3\right)^*$, and there exists a constant L such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(t, x, y_1, z_1) - f(t, x, y_2, z_2)| \le L(|y_1 - y_2| + |z_1 - z_2|).$$

(H_4) $\xi = g(\eta_T)$, where $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a differentiable function with polynomial growth.

Before giving the definition of the solution for the above BSDE and investigating its wellposedness (see Section 4), we introduce the following space

$$\mathcal{V}_T := \left\{ Y = \phi(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) : \phi \in C^{1,3}_{pol}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \text{ with } \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

as well as its completion $\bar{\mathcal{V}}^{\alpha}_T$ under the following α-norm

$$\|Y\|_\alpha = \left(\int_0^T t^{2\alpha - 1} \mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_0^T t^{2\alpha - 1} \mathbb{E}|\phi(t, \eta(t))|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}, \tag{10}$$

where $\alpha \ge 1/2$. Let us study some auxiliary results concerning these spaces.

Remark 7 *We should mention that in Hu and Peng's paper [10], the space* $\mathcal{V}_T$ *was defined as* $\left\{ Y = \phi(\cdot, \eta(\cdot)) : \phi \in C^{1,2}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}) \right\}$. *However, for the sake of mathematical rigor, we have to restrict their space to that defined above (See the proofs of Lemma 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 in [10]).*

3.2 An Itô formula

We begin with the following result concerning the space $\mathcal{V}_T$.

Lemma 8 *We have* $\mathcal{V}_T \subset \mathbb{L}^{1,2}_H \subset Dom(\delta)$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{V}_T$. In order to show (5), we first prove that $E\|u\|^2_T < \infty$. From $L^2([0, T]) \subset \mathcal{H}$ we see that it is sufficient to show that $\mathbb{E}\int_0^T |u(s, \eta(s))|^2 ds < \infty$, where the latter property can be deduced from $\mathbb{E}|u(s, \eta(s))|^2 \le C$, $s \in [0, T]$, for some suitable $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, since $u \in \mathcal{V}_T$, we have, for some $C > 0$, $k \ge 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}|u(s, \eta(s))|^2 \le C\mathbb{E}(1 + |\eta(s)| + \ldots + |\eta(s)|^k), \ s \in [0, T].$$

$^*C^{k,l}_{pol}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ is the space of all $C^{k,l}$-functions over $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^m$, which together with their derivatives, are of polynomial growth.

On the other hand, from (7) and Theorem 7.10 [8], we see that for any $p \geq 1$, there exists $C_p > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}|\eta(s)|^p \leq C\mathbb{E}\left(1 + \left|\int_0^s \sigma(v)\delta B^H(v)\right|^p\right) \leq C_p + C_p\|\sigma\|_s^{p/2}. \tag{11}$$

Hence $E\|u\|_T^2 < \infty$.

In a second step, we show that $\mathbb{D}_s^H u(t, \eta(t))$ exists for all $s, t \in [0, T]$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \int_0^T |\mathbb{D}_s^H u(t, \eta(t))|^2 ds dt < \infty. \tag{12}$$

In fact, a straight-forward computation shows that

$$\mathbb{D}_s^H u(t, \eta(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(t, \eta(t)) \int_0^t \phi(s - v)\sigma(v) dv.$$

From the polynomial growth of $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and the continuity of σ, we conclude

$$\mathbb{E}|\mathbb{D}_s^H u(t, \eta(t))|^2 \leq C, \quad s, t \in [0, T],$$

for a suitable $C \in \mathbb{R}$, which yields (12). Consequently, the process u satisfies (5) and belongs to $\mathbb{L}_H^{1,2}$. ■

Let us give now a statement for the Itô formula in the framework of the divergence type integral, which is for our purposes better adapted than Theorem 4.5 [7]. We mention that the formula in the following theorem is a particular case of our generalized Itô formula (67) (see Theorem 34 in the Appendix), but here we use a different approach.

Theorem 9 *Let $u \in \mathcal{V}_T$ and $f \in C_{pol}^{0,1}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$. We put $f_s = f(s, \eta(s))$, $s \in [0, T]$. Then for the Itô process*

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t f_s ds + \int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s), \ t \in [0, T],$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \quad uXI_{[0,t]} \in Dom(\delta), \ t \in [0, T], \\ &(ii) \quad X_s \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}, \ s \in [0, T], \\ &(iii) \quad \left(\mathbb{D}_s^H X_s\right)_{s \in [0,T]} \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X_t^2 = X_0^2 + 2\int_0^t X_s f_s ds + 2\int_0^t X_s u_s \delta B^H(s) + 2\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_s ds, \ a.s. \qquad t \in [0, T].$$

Before proving this theorem, we give the following lemma.

Lemma 10 *Let $u \in \mathcal{V}_T$ and $X_t = \int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s)$, $t \in [0, T]$. Then $uXI_{[0,t]} \in Dom(\delta)$, $t \in [0, T]$, $\left(\mathbb{D}_s^H X_s\right)_{s \in [0,T]} \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega)$, and*

$$X_t^2 = 2\int_0^t X_s u_s \delta B^H(s) + 2\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_s ds, \ t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathcal{P}_T$. Then, since obviously $X_t F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$, we have from Definition 1

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[X_t^2 F\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[X_t(X_tF)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T u_s I_{[0,t](s)} \delta B^H(s)(X_tF)\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T u_s I_{[0,t](s)} \mathbb{D}_s^H (X_tF) ds\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right) X_t\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_t ds\right) F\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $X \in \mathbb{L}_H^{1,2}$ and, hence also $XF \in \mathbb{L}_H^{1,2}$. In particular, we observe that

$$\mathbb{D}_s^H X_t = \int_0^t \phi(s-r) u_r dr + \int_0^t \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r \delta B^H(s), \ \ s,t \in [0,T]. \tag{13}$$

Moreover, since $\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$, we get again from Definition 1

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right) X_t\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right) \int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s)\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{D}_r^H \left(u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F\right) u_r dr ds\right].
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (13) it follows

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_t ds\right) F\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^t \phi(s-r) u_s u_r ds dr \cdot F\right] + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \mathbb{D}_r^H (u_s F) \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r dr\right] ds.$$

Therefore, by combining the above relations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[X_t^2 F\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right) X_t\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_t ds\right) F\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{D}_r^H \left(u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F\right) u_r dr ds\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^t \phi(s-r) u_s u_r ds dr \cdot F\right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{D}_r^H (u_s F) \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r dr ds\right].
\end{aligned}$$

By noticing that the right-hand side of the above equality is symmetric in (s,r) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[X_t^2 F\right] &= 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbb{D}_r^H \left(u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F\right) u_r dr ds\right] + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^s \phi(s-r) u_s u_r ds dr \cdot F\right] \\
&\quad + 2\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbb{D}_r^H (u_s F) \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r dr ds\right] \\
&:= 2I_1 + 2I_2 + 2I_3.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let us begin with the evaluation of I_1. Obviously, by using that $uI_{[0,s]} \in \mathbb{L}_H^{1,2} \subset Dom(\delta)$ and $u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$, we have from Fubini's Theorem and Definition 1

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbb{D}_r^H \left(u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F\right) u_r dr ds\right] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \mathbb{D}_r^H \left(u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F\right) u_r I_{[0,s](r)} dr\right] ds \\
&= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T u_r I_{[0,s](r)} \delta B^H(r) u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F\right] ds = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s X_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

On the other hand, since also $\mathbb{D}_s^H u I_{[0,s]} \in \mathbb{L}_H^{1,2} \subset Dom(\delta)$ and $u_s F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$, $s \in [0,t]$, we obtain again from Fubini's Theorem as well as Definition 1 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbb{D}_r^H (u_s F)\mathbb{D}_s^H u_r dr ds\right] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^s \mathbb{D}_r^H (u_s F)\mathbb{D}_s^H u_r dr\right] ds \\ &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^s \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r \delta B^H(r) u_s \cdot F\right] ds = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r\right) \delta B^H(r) u_s \cdot F ds\right].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, due to (13)

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 + I_3 &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^s \phi(s-r) u_s u_r ds dr \cdot F\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_0^s \mathbb{D}_r^H (u_s F)\mathbb{D}_s^H u_r dr ds\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s \left(\int_0^s \phi(s-r) u_r dr + \int_0^s \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r \delta B^H(r)\right) ds \cdot F\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[F \int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_s ds\right].\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Consequently, from (14)-(16),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[2\int_0^T u_s X_s I_{[0,t]}(s) \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_t^2 - 2\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_s ds\right) F\right], \quad \text{for all } F \in \mathcal{P}_T. \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 7.10 [8] and the fact that $u \in \mathcal{V}_T$, it follows that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s)\right)^4 \le C\mathbb{E}\|u\|_T^4 + C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \int_0^T |\mathbb{D}_t^H u_s|^2 ds dt\right)^2 \\ &\le C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T |u_s|^2 ds\right)^2 + C\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \int_0^T |\mathbb{D}_t^H u_s|^4 ds dt \le C, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T],\end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \mathbb{D}_s^H u_r \delta B^H(r)\right)^4 \le C\mathbb{E}\|\mathbb{D}_s^H u\|_T^4 + C\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T \int_0^T |\mathbb{D}_t^H(\mathbb{D}_s^H u_r)|^2 dr dt\right)^2 \\ &\le C + C\mathbb{E}\int_0^T \int_0^T |u_{xx}(r, \eta(r))|^4 dr \le C, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T].\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the definition of the process X, we deduce from the above two estimates and Theorem 3 that

$$uX \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H}) \text{ and } X_t^2 - 2\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_s ds \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P).$$

Therefore, from (17) and Definition 1 it follows that $uXI_{[0,t]} \in Dom(\delta)$ and

$$2\int_0^t u_s X_s \delta B^H(s) = X_t^2 - 2\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_s ds.$$

■

Proof of Theorem 9. Let

$$Y_t := \int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s) \text{ and } Z_t := X_0 + \int_0^t f_s ds,\ t \in [0,T].$$

From the previous lemma we know that $uYI_{[0,t]} \in Dom(\delta)$, for all $t \in [0,T]$, and

$$Y_t^2 = 2\int_0^t u_s Y_s \delta B^H(s) + 2\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Y_s ds,\ t \in [0,T].$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that $Z_t^2 = X_0^2 + 2\int_0^t f_s Z_s ds,\ t \in [0,T]$. Moreover, we assert that $uZI_{[0,t]} \in Dom(\delta)$, for all $t \in [0,T]$, and

$$Y_t Z_t = \int_0^t u_s Z_s \delta B^H(s) + \int_0^t f_s Y_s ds + \int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Z_s ds,\ t \in [0,T].$$

Indeed, since $Z_t F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ and $\mathbb{D}_s^H(Z_t F) = \mathbb{D}_s^H(Z_s F) + \int_s^t \mathbb{D}_s^H(f_r F)dr$, $s \in [0,t]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\left[Y_t Z_t F\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^T u_s I_{[0,t]}(s)\delta B^H(s)\right) Z_t F\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H(Z_t F)ds\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H(Z_s F)ds\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t \int_s^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H(f_r F)drds\right] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Z_s ds \cdot F\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s Z_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right] + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^r u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H(f_r F)ds\right] dr \\
&= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Z_s ds \cdot F\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t u_s Z_s \mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t Y_r f_r F dr\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T u_s Z_s I_{[0,t]}(s)\mathbb{D}_s^H F ds\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y_t Z_t - \int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Z_s ds - \int_0^t Y_r f_r dr\right) F\right],\ F \in \mathcal{P}_T,$$

and since $uZI_{[0,t]} \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H})$ as well as $Y_t Z_t - \int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Z_s ds - \int_0^t Y_s f_s ds \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, we conclude from Definition 1 that $uZI_{[0,t]} \in Dom(\delta)$ and

$$\int_0^t u_s Z_s \delta B^H(s) = Y_t Z_t - \int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Z_s ds - \int_0^t Y_s f_s ds.$$

Consequently, using the above notation as well as the linearity of $Dom(\delta)$, we have $X_t = Y_t + Z_t$, $uXI_{[0,t]} \in Dom(\delta)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t^2 &= Y_t^2 + 2Y_t Z_t + Z_t^2 \\
&= X_0^2 + 2\int_0^t X_s f_s ds + 2\int_0^t X_s u_s \delta B^H(s) + 2\int_0^t u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H X_s ds,\ t \in [0,T].
\end{aligned}$$

■

Emphasizing that the Itô-Skorohod integral and the divergence type integral coincide for all $u \in \mathbb{L}_H^{1,2}$. Then from Hu and Peng Lemma 4.2 [10] the following lemma holds true:

Lemma 11 *Let* $a, b \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$*. If*

$$\int_0^t b(s,\eta(s))ds + \int_0^t a(s,\eta(s))\delta B^H(s) = 0, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T],$$

then

$$b(s,x) = a(s,x) = 0, \text{ for all } t \in [0,T], \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3.3 Quasi-conditional expectation

In this subsection, we recall the quasi-conditional expectation which was introduced by Hu and Øksendal [9].

For any $n \geq 1$, we introduce the set $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ of all real symmetric Borel functions f_n of n variables such that

$$\|f_n\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \phi(s_i - r_i) f_n(s_1, \dots, s_n) f_n(r_1, \dots, r_n) ds_1 \dots ds_n dr_1 \dots dr_n < \infty.$$

Then one can define the iterated integral (see [5, 8, 9])

$$I_n(f_n) = n! \int_{t_1 < \dots < t_n} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_n) dB^H(t_1) \cdots dB^H(t_n).$$

in the sense of Itô-Skorohod. For $n = 0$ and $f = f_0$ be a constant we set $I_0(f_0) = f_0$ and $\|f_0\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes 0}} = f_0^2$.

We recall the following theorem, see Theorem 3.9.9 [5] or [7] (Theorem 6.9) or [9] (Theorem 3.22).

Theorem 12 *Let* $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$*. Then there exists* $f_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$*,*$n \geq 1$ *such that*

$$F = \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n(f_n).$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{E}|F|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty n! \|f_n\|^2_{\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}} < \infty.$$

The convergence in this chaos expansion of F *is understood in the sense of* $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$*.*

Definition 13 *If* $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$*, then the quasi-conditional expectation is defined as*

$$\hat{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t] = \sum_{n=0}^\infty I_n(f_n I^{\otimes n}_{[0,t]}), \ t \in [0,T], \tag{18}$$

where

$$I^{\otimes n}_{[0,t]}(t_1, \dots, t_n) = I_{[0,t]}(t_1) \cdots I_{[0,t]}(t_n).$$

Remark 14 *Observe that (18) converges in* $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ *and* $\hat{E}\left[\hat{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t]\big|\mathcal{F}_s\right] = \hat{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_s]$*, for* $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$*.*

Lemma 15 (Lemma 3.3 [10]) *For all* $f \in \mathbb{L}_H^{1,2}$ *and all* $t \in [0,T]$*, P-a.s.*

$$\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f(u)\delta B^H(u)\Big|\mathcal{F}_t\right] = 0.$$

The following lemma is inspired by Theorem 3.9 [10].

Lemma 16 *Let* $F = f(\eta(T))$*, where* $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a continuous function of polynomial growth. Then* $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ *and*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\hat{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t]\right] = \mathbb{E}F, \ t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. First, from the polynomial growth of f and

$$\mathbb{E}|\eta(T)|^p \leq M\mathbb{E}\left(1 + \left|\int_0^T \sigma(v)dB^H(v)\right|^p\right) \leq C_p + C_p\|\sigma\|_T^{p/2} \leq M_p, \ p \geq 1,$$

we obtain $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

We now put $p_t(x) = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t}}$, $t \in (0,T], x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$P_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_t(x-y)f(y)dy.$$

Applying (6) to $P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} f(\eta(t))$ and noticing that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t}P_t f(x) = \dfrac{1}{2}\dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}P_t f(x)$, we have

$$f(\eta(T)) = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} f(\eta(t)) + \int_t^T \frac{\partial}{\partial x}P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_s^2} f(\eta(s))\sigma(s)\delta B^H(s), \tag{19}$$

and, hence

$$\mathbb{E}f(\eta(T)) = \mathbb{E}\left\{P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} f(\eta(t))\right\}. \tag{20}$$

On the other hand, from the proof of Theorem 3.8 [10], it follows that

$$\hat{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t] = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} f(\eta(t)). \tag{21}$$

For the reader's convenience, we give a justification for (21) here. By taking $t = 0$ in (19) we obtain

$$f(\eta(T)) = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2} f(\eta(0)) + \int_0^T \frac{\partial}{\partial x}P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_s^2} f(\eta(s))\sigma(s)\delta B^H(s).$$

Thus, due to Lemma 15 and Remark 4.10 [9],

$$\hat{E}\left[F|\mathcal{F}_t\right] = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2} f(\eta(0)) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_s^2} f(\eta(s))\sigma(s)\delta B^H(s).$$

On the other hand, by applying (6) to $P_{\|\sigma\|_t^2 - \|\sigma\|_s^2} f(\eta(s))$ over time interval $[0,t]$, we get

$$f(\eta(t)) = P_{\|\sigma\|_t^2} f(\eta(0)) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}P_{\|\sigma\|_t^2 - \|\sigma\|_s^2} f(\eta(s))\sigma(s)\delta B^H(s).$$

Thus, from semigroup property

$$P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2}f(x) = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2}P_{\|\sigma\|_t^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2}f(x),\ 0 \le s \le t \le T$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2}f(x) = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}P_{\|\sigma\|_t^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2}f(x),$$

it follows that

$$\hat{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t] = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2}f(\eta(t)).$$

Consequently, from (20) and (21), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\hat{E}[F|\mathcal{F}_t]\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2}f(\eta(t))\right\} = \mathbb{E}F.$$

■

4 BSDEs driven by B^H

The objective of this section is to study the BSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dY(t) = f(t,\eta(t),Y(t),Z(t))dt - Z(t)\delta B^H(t)\,, & t \in [0,T], \\ Y(T) = \xi. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

We now give the definition of the solution for the above BSDE.

Definition 17 *A pair (Y,Z) is called a solution of BSDE (22), if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a_1) $Y \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2}$ *and* $Z \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$ *(Recall* (10)*);*

(a_2) $Y(t) = \xi + \int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s))\,ds - \int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in (0,T],\ \ a.s.$

Let us begin by discussing the existence of a solution for BSDE (22).

4.1 Existence

We begin with considering the following equation:

$$Y(t) = \xi + \int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))\,ds - \int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T], \tag{23}$$

where $\chi,\psi \in C_{pol}^{1,3}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} \in C_{pol}^{0,1}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$. Observe that (23) is a special case of BSDE (22).

We mention that in Proposition 4.5 [10], the existence problem of a solution for an equation of type (23) was not considered. Therefore we shall give the following proposition in a rigorous manner:

Proposition 18 *Under the assumptions (H_1)-(H_4), BSDE (23) has a unique solution $(Y,Z) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ of the form*

$$(i) Y(t) = u(t,\eta(t)), \quad Z(t) = v(t,\eta(t)),$$
$$(ii) v(t,x) = \sigma(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t,x).$$

where $u, v \in C^{1,3}_{pol}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$.

Before giving the proof, we show the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 19 *Assume that $f \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ and put $f_s = f(s,\eta(s))$, $s\in[0,T]$. Then*

$$\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f_s ds \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \int_t^T \hat{E}\left[f_s \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] ds, \ P-a.s., \ t\in[0,T].$$

Proof. Since $f \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$, we know that $f_s \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$, for all $s\in[0,T]$. From Theorem 12 there exist $f_{n,s}\in\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, $n\geq 0$ such that $f_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(f_{n,s})$, with $I_0(f_{0,s}) = \mathbb{E}f_s$. Recall that the series converges in $L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$. From the proof of Theorem 3.9.9 [5] we deduce that $f_{n,s}$ is measurable w.r.t. s, for $n\geq 0$. Similarly, for $g := \int_t^T f_s ds \in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ there exist $g_n \in \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, $n \geq 0$, such that, $g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(g_n)$ with $I_0(g_0) = \mathbb{E}g$. Let us show that we can choose $g_n = \int_t^T f_{n,s}ds$, $n\geq 0$. For this we observe that

$$\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|\sum_{n=0}^{N} I_n(f_{n,s})\right|^2 ds = \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \sum_{n=0}^{N} \left|I_n(f_{n,s})\right|^2 ds \leq \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left|I_n(f_{n,s})\right|^2 ds = \mathbb{E}\int_t^T |f_s|^2 ds < \infty,$$

and hence $I_n(f_{n,s})$ is square integrable w.r.t. s.

Now, for arbitrary $F\in L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ with the chaos expansion $F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(h_n)$, we have from Fubini's Theorem

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F\cdot I_n(g_n)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[I_n(h_n)\cdot I_n(g_n)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[I_n(h_n)\cdot\int_t^T f_s ds\right] = \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left[I_n(h_n)\cdot f_s\right]ds$$
$$= \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left[I_n(h_n)\cdot I_n(f_{n,s})\right]ds = \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left[F\cdot I_n(f_{n,s})\right]ds = \mathbb{E}\left[F\cdot\int_t^T I_n(f_{n,s})ds\right].$$

It follows that $I_n(g_n) = \int_t^T I_n(f_{n,s})ds$, $n\geq 0$, and the stochastic Fubini Theorem (see Theorem 1.13.1 [12]) yields $I_n(g_n) = \int_t^T I_n(f_{n,s})ds = I_n\left(\int_t^T f_{n,s}ds\right)$. Thus, we can indeed choose $g_n = \int_t^T f_{n,s}ds$, $n\geq 0$ and $I^{\otimes n}_{[0,t]}g_n = I^{\otimes n}_{[0,t]}\int_t^T f_{n,s}ds$. Consequently, we have

$$\lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{N} I_n(\int_t^T f_{n,s}ds) = \lim_{N\to\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{N} I_n(g_n) = g = \int_t^T f_s ds, \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P). \tag{24}$$

Now we are going to show that $\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f_s ds \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \int_t^T \hat{E}\left[f_s | \mathcal{F}_t\right] ds$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f_s ds \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] &= \hat{E}\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(g_n) \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(I_{[0,t]}^{\otimes n} g_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(I_{[0,t]}^{\otimes n} \int_t^T f_{n,s} ds) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(\int_t^T I_{[0,t]}^{\otimes n} f_{n,s} ds) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_t^T I_n(I_{[0,t]}^{\otimes n} f_{n,s}) ds,\end{aligned}$$

where, for the later equality in the above equation, we have used the stochastic Fubini Theorem. Similar to (24), we also obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_t^T I_n(I_{[0,t]}^{\otimes n} f_{n,s}) ds = \int_t^T \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n(I_{[0,t]}^{\otimes n} f_{n,s}) ds,\ P-a.s.$$

and the right hand side of above equation is nothing else but $\int_t^T \hat{E}\left[f_s | \mathcal{F}_t\right] ds$, which completes our proof. ■

After the above auxiliary result we can now prove our Proposition 18.

Proof of Proposition 18. Using similar arguments to those of the proof of Lemma 8, we get

$$g(\eta(T)) + \int_0^T f(s, \eta(s), \chi(s, \eta(s)), \psi(s, \eta(s))) ds \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P).$$

Thus,

$$M(t) = \hat{E}\left[g(\eta(T)) + \int_0^T f(s, \eta(s), \chi(s, \eta(s)), \psi(s, \eta(s))) ds \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right], t \in [0, T].$$

Obviously, $\hat{E}[M(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] = M(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ (see Remark 14). Using (21) and Lemma 19, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}M(t) = P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} g(\eta(t)) &+ \int_t^T P_{\|\sigma\|_u^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} f(u, \eta(t), \chi(u, \eta(t)), \psi(u, \eta(t))) du \\ &+ \int_0^t f(u, \eta(u), \chi(u, \eta(u)), \psi(u, \eta(u))) du.\end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of the Malliavin derivative, it follows that that if $F \in \mathbb{D}_{1,2}$ is $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable, then $D_s^H F = 0$, ds-a.e. on $[t, T]$. Therefore, for $s \in [0, t]$

$$D_s^H M(t) = \sigma_s P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} g'(\eta(t)) + \sigma_s \int_t^T P_{\|\sigma\|_u^2 - \|\sigma\|_t^2} \tilde{g}(u, \eta(t)) du + \sigma_s \int_s^t \tilde{g}(u, \eta(u)) du \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{g}(u, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(u, x, \chi(u, x), \psi(u, x)) &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(u, x, \chi(u, x), \psi(u, x)) \chi_x(u, x) \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(u, x, \chi(u, x), \psi(u, x)) \psi_x(u, x).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (21) and the semigroup property of $P_{u,v}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{E}[D_s^H M(t)|\mathcal{F}_s] &= \sigma_s P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2} P_{\|\sigma\|_t^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2} g'(\eta(s)) \\ &\quad +\sigma_s \int_t^T P_{\|\sigma\|_u^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2} P_{\|\sigma\|_t^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2} \tilde{g}(u,\eta(s))du + \sigma_s \int_s^t P_{\|\sigma\|_u^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2} \tilde{g}(u,\eta(u))du \\ &= \sigma_s P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2} g'(\eta(s)) + \sigma_s \int_s^T P_{\|\sigma\|_u^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2} \tilde{g}(u,\eta(s))du.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Now, we are going to prove that $\mathbb{E}|M(t)|^2 < \infty$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|M(t)|^2 &\le 3\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{E}[g(\eta(T))|\mathcal{F}_t]\right|^2 + 3\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right|^2 \\ &\quad +3\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds\right|^2,\end{aligned}$$

and, similarly to Theorem 3.9 [10], we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{E}[g(\eta(T))|\mathcal{F}_t]\right|^2 \le \mathbb{E}|g(\eta(T))|^2$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 19, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left|\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right|^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left|\int_t^T \hat{E}\left[f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]ds\right|^2 \\ &\le T\int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left|\hat{E}\left[f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right|^2 ds \\ &\le T\int_t^T \mathbb{E}|f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))|^2 ds.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\mathbb{E}|M(t)|^2 < \infty$. Then by using fractional Clark formula (see [8] and [9]) we get

$$M(t) = \mathbb{E}M(t) + \int_0^t \hat{E}[D_s^H M(t)|\mathcal{F}_s]\delta B^H(s). \tag{27}$$

From Lemmas 16 and 19 we have $\mathbb{E}\left\{\hat{E}[g(\eta(T))|\mathcal{F}_t]\right\} = \mathbb{E}g(\eta(T))$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left\{\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right\} \\ &= \int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left\{\hat{E}\left[f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right\}ds \\ &= \mathbb{E}\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\hat{E}[M(T)|\mathcal{F}_t]\right\}=\mathbb{E}\left\{\hat{E}[g(\eta(T))|\mathcal{F}_t]\right\}+\mathbb{E}\int_0^t f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds$$

$$+\mathbb{E}\left\{\hat{E}\left[\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds\big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]\right\} \tag{28}$$

$$=\mathbb{E}g(\eta(T))+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds=\mathbb{E}M(T).$$

On the other hand, from (21) and (25) we obtain

$$\hat{E}[D_s^H M(T)|\mathcal{F}_s]=\sigma_s P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2}g'(\eta(s))+\sigma_s\int_s^T P_{\|\sigma\|_u^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2}\tilde{g}(u,\eta(s))du,\ P-a.s.,\ s\in[0,T].$$

Then the comparison with (26) yields

$$\hat{E}[D_s^H M(t)|\mathcal{F}_s]=\hat{E}[D_s^H M(T)|\mathcal{F}_s],\ P-a.s.,\ s\in[0,t]. \tag{29}$$

We deduce from (27), (28) and (29) that

$$M(t)=\mathbb{E}M(T)+\int_0^t\hat{E}[D_s^H M(T)|\mathcal{F}_s]\delta B^H(s).$$

Let us now introduce the process $Z(s)=\hat{E}[D_s^H M(T)|\mathcal{F}_s],\ s\in[0,T]$. Similarly as Proposition 4.5 [10], using the property of the operator $P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_s^2}$, we can prove that $Z\in\mathcal{V}_T$. Moreover, in virtue of the latter relation we have

$$M(t)=\mathbb{E}M(T)+\int_0^t Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ P-a.s.\ t\in[0,T].$$

Now we define

$$Y(t)=M(t)-\int_0^t f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds,\ t\in[0,T].$$

Then,

$$Y(T)-Y(t)=M(T)-M(t)-\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds$$

$$=\int_t^T Z(s)dB^H(s)-\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds,$$

which means that

$$Y(t)=\xi+\int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s))\right)ds-\int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H\left(s\right).$$

Moreover, from Remark 4.10 [9] and (21)

$$Y(t)=\hat{E}[Y(t)|\mathcal{F}_t]=\hat{E}\left[g(\eta(T))+\int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s))\right)ds\Big|\mathcal{F}_t\right]$$

$$=P_{\|\sigma\|_T^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2}g(\eta(t))+\int_t^T P_{\|\sigma\|_s^2-\|\sigma\|_t^2}f\left(s,\eta(t),\chi(s,\eta(t)),\psi(s,\eta(t))\right)ds,$$

so that it can be easily shown that also $Y \in \mathcal{V}_T$. Consequently, we have constructed a solution $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ for BSDE (23). Moreover, Y is continuous since $Y \in \mathcal{V}_T$.

Finally, using that $Y, Z \in \mathcal{V}_T$, we can find $u, v \in C^{1,3}_{pol}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ with $\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $Y(t) = u(t, \eta(t)), Z(t) = v(t, \eta(t))$, $t \in [0,T]$. Then $v(t,x) = \sigma(t)\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t,x)$. Indeed, by applying (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} du(t,\eta(t)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,\eta(t))dt + \sigma(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t,\eta(t))\delta B^H(t) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}(t)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(t,\eta(t))dt \\ &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t,\eta(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}(t)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(t,\eta(t))\right]dt + \sigma(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t,\eta(t))\delta B^H(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}(t) := \dfrac{d}{dt}(\|\sigma\|_t^2)$. Consequently

$$u(t,\eta(t)) = \xi - \int_t^T \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(s,\eta(s)) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}(s)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(s,\eta(s))\right]ds - \int_t^T \sigma(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(s,\eta(s))\delta B^H(s).$$

From (23) it can be concluded that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_t^T \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(s,\eta(s)) + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\sigma}(s)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(s,\eta(s))\right]ds + \int_t^T \sigma(s)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(s,\eta(s))\delta B^H(s) \\ &= -\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),\chi(s,\eta(s)),\psi(s,\eta(s)))ds + \int_t^T v(s,\eta(s))\delta B^H(s). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 11 we deduce that

$$v(t,x) = \sigma(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t,x), \text{ for all } t \in [0,T],\ x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{30}$$

It remains to prove that the above solution is the unique one in $\mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ for BSDE (23). Indeed, we suppose that there is another solution $(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$. Then by applying Theorem 9, using (30) and taking expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}|Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t)|^2 + \frac{2}{M}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}|Z(s) - \tilde{Z}(s)|^2 ds \\ &\le \mathbb{E}|Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t)|^2 + 2\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}\mathbb{E}|Z(s) - \tilde{Z}(s)|^2 ds \\ &= 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left(Y(t) - \tilde{Y}(t)\right)\left(Z(t) - \tilde{Z}(t)\right)\delta B^H(s) = 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,T], \end{aligned}$$

where the latter equality follows the fact that $(Y - \tilde{Y})(Z - \tilde{Z}) \in \mathcal{V}_T$. Therefore, taking into account the continuity of $Y - \tilde{Y}$, the uniqueness follows. ■

Proposition 20 *Let the assumptions* (H_1)*-*(H_4) *be satisfied. For* $(U, V) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$*, let* $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ *be the unique solution of the following BSDE*

$$Y(t) = \int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),U(s),V(s))\,ds - \int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T].$$

Then, for all $\beta > 0$, there exists $C(\beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ (depending also on L and T) such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t\in[0,T]} e^{2\beta t}\mathbb{E}\left|Y(t)\right|^2 + \int_0^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\left|Y(s)\right|^2 ds + \int_0^T s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|Z(s)|^2 ds\\ &\leq C(\beta)\left(\int_0^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|U(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^T s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|V(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^T e^{2\beta s}\left|f(s,\eta(s),0,0)\right|^2 ds\right).\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Moreover, $C(\beta)$ can be chosen such that $\lim_{\beta\to\infty} C(\beta) = 0$.

Proof. From Theorem 9 and Proposition 18 we deduce that, for $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2 + \frac{2}{M}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}|Z(s)|^2 ds \leq \mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2 + 2\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}\mathbb{E}|Z(s)|^2 ds\\ &= 2\int_t^T \mathbb{E}\Big[Y(s)f(s,\eta(s),U(s),V(s))\Big]ds\\ &\leq 2\int_t^T \mathbb{E}\left[|Y(s)|\left(L|U(s)| + L|V(s)| + |f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|\right)\right]ds\\ &\leq 2\int_t^T \left[\mathbb{E}\left|Y(s)\right|^2\right]^{1/2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(L|U(s)| + L|V(s)| + |f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|\right)^2\right]^{1/2} ds.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Let $x(t) = \left[\mathbb{E}\left|Y(t)\right|^2\right]^{1/2}$, $t \in [0,T]$. Then

$$x^2(t) \leq 2\sqrt{3}\int_t^T x(s)\left[\mathbb{E}\left(L^2|U(s)|^2 + L^2|V(s)|^2 + |f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2} ds,\ t \in [0,T]. \tag{33}$$

To estimate $x(t)$, we will apply the following inequality:

Lemma 21 *Let $a,\alpha,\beta : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be three nonnegative Borel functions such that a is decreasing and $\alpha,\beta \in L^1_{loc}([0,\infty])$. If $x : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous function such that*

$$x^2(t) \leq a(t) + 2\int_t^T \alpha(s)\,x(s)\,ds + 2\int_t^T \beta(s)\,x^2(s)\,ds,\ t \in [0,T],$$

then

$$x(t) \leq \sqrt{a(t)}\exp\left(\int_t^T \beta(s)\,ds\right) + \int_t^T \alpha(s)\exp\left(\int_t^s \beta(r)\,dr\right)ds,\ t \in [0,T].$$

Remark 22 *For this Lemma the reader is referred to Corollary 6.61 [17].*

Now from (33) and the above lemma, by setting

$$\begin{aligned}&a(t) = 0, \quad \beta(s) = 0,\\ &\alpha(s) = \sqrt{3}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(L^2|U(s)|^2 + L^2|V(s)|^2 + |f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2},\ s \in [0,T],\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$x(t) \leq \sqrt{3}\int_t^T \left[\mathbb{E}\left(L^2|U(s)|^2 + L^2|V(s)|^2 + |f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2} ds, \quad t \in [0,T],$$

and, hence, for any $\beta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\mathbb{E}\,|Y(t)|^2\right]^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{3}\int_t^T \left(L\left[\mathbb{E}|U(s)|^2\right]^{1/2} + L\left[\mathbb{E}|V(s)|^2\right]^{1/2} + \left[\mathbb{E}\,|f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|^2\right]^{1/2}\right) ds \\
&\leq \sqrt{3}L\int_t^T \left(e^{-\beta s}\left[e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|U(s)|^2\right]^{1/2} + \frac{e^{-\beta s}}{s^{H-1/2}}\left[s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|V(s)|^2\right]^{1/2}\right) ds \\
&\quad +\sqrt{3}\int_t^T e^{-\beta s}\left[e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\,|f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|^2\right]^{1/2} ds \\
&\leq \sqrt{3}L\left(\int_t^T e^{-2\beta s} ds\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_t^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|U(s)|^2 ds\right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad +\sqrt{3}L\left(\int_t^T \frac{e^{-2\beta s}}{s^{2H-1}} ds\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_t^T s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|V(s)|^2 ds\right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad +\sqrt{3}\left(\int_t^T e^{-2\beta s} ds\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_t^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\,|f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|^2\, ds\right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Let us use the following notations:

$$A_t := \left(\int_t^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|U(s)|^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad B_t := \left(\int_t^T s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|V(s)|^2 ds\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\text{and} \quad C_t = \left(\int_t^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\,|f(s,\eta(s),0,0)|^2\right)^{1/2}, \ t \in [0,T].$$

Since $\int_t^T e^{-2\beta s} ds = \frac{1}{2\beta}\left(e^{-2\beta t} - e^{-2\beta T}\right)$ we have for $\alpha > 0$ with $0 < \alpha < 2-2H < 1$ and $\beta > 0$,

$$e^{2\beta t}\int_t^T \frac{e^{-2\beta s}}{s^{2H-1}} ds \leq \int_t^T \frac{(2\beta(s-t))^{-\alpha}}{s^{2H-1}} ds \leq \frac{1}{(2\beta)^\alpha}\int_0^T \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+2H-1}} ds < \infty.$$

This allows to conclude from (34), that

$$e^{2\beta t}\mathbb{E}\,|Y(t)|^2 \leq \frac{9L^2}{2\beta}\ A_t^2 + \frac{9L^2}{(2\beta)^\alpha}\int_t^T \frac{(s-t)^{-\alpha}}{s^{2H-1}} ds\ B_t^2 + \frac{9}{2\beta}\ C_t^2. \tag{35}$$

Consequently, there exists $C(\beta)$ with $\lim_{\beta\to\infty} C(\beta) = 0$, s.t.

$$e^{2\beta t}\mathbb{E}\,|Y(t)|^2\, dt \leq C(\beta)\left(A_t^2 + B_t^2 + C_t^2\right), \ t \in [0,T]. \tag{36}$$

Applying the Itô formula to $|Y(t)|^2$, taking the expectation $\mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2$ and then determining the function $d\left(e^{2\beta t}\mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2\right)$ and using (35) we obtain (Recall (9) for the definition of M)

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{2\beta t}\mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2+2\beta\int_t^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|Y(s)|^2ds+\frac{2}{M}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|Z(s)|^2ds\\
&\le 2\int_t^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\left[|Y(s)|\left(L|U(s)|+L|V(s)|+|f\left(s,\eta(s),0,0\right)|\right)\right]ds\\
&\le 2L\int_t^T \left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}|Y(s)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}|U(s)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}ds\\
&\quad +2L\int_t^T \left[\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{e^{2\beta s}}{s^{2H-1}}|Y(s)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}s^{2H-1}|V(s)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}ds\\
&\quad +2\int_t^T \left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}|Y(s)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}\left|f\left(s,\eta(s),0,0\right)\right|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}ds\\
&\le 2L\int_t^T \left[C(\beta)\left(A_s^2+B_s^2+C_s^2\right)\right]^{1/2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}|U(s)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}ds\\
&\quad +2L\int_t^T \left[\frac{1}{s^{2H-1}}C(\beta)\left(A_s^2+B_s^2+C_s^2\right)\right]^{1/2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}s^{2H-1}|V(s)|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}ds\\
&\quad +2\int_t^T \left[C(\beta)\left(A_s^2+B_s^2+C_s^2\right)\right]^{1/2}\left[\mathbb{E}\left(e^{2\beta s}\left|f\left(s,\eta(s),0,0\right)\right|^2\right)\right]^{1/2}ds\\
&\le 2L\sqrt{C(\beta)}\left(A_t+B_t+C_t\right)\left(\sqrt{T-t}\;A_t+\sqrt{\frac{T^{2-2H}-t^{2-2H}}{2-2H}}\;B_t+\sqrt{T-t}\;C_t\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the above inequality and (36) allow to conclude inequality (31). ■

Theorem 23 *Let the assumptions* (H_1)*-*(H_4) *be satisfied. Then the BSDE*

$$Y(t)=\xi+\int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s)\right)ds-\int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t\in[0,T]$$

has a solution $(Y,Z)\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2}\times\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$.

Remark 24 *Let us mention that it is not clear here, if the solution* Y *has continuous paths or not. Indeed, since* Z *does not necessarily belong to* $\mathbb{L}_{1,2}^H$*, the divergence integral* $\int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s)$ *can eventually be discontinuous in* t.

Proof. The existence of the solution is obtained by the Banach fixed point theorem. Let us consider the mapping $\Gamma:\mathcal{V}_T\times\mathcal{V}_T\to\mathcal{V}_T\times\mathcal{V}_T$ given by $(U,V)\longrightarrow\Gamma(U,V)=(Y,Z)$, where (Y,Z) is the unique solution in $\mathcal{V}_T\times\mathcal{V}_T$ for the BSDE

$$Y(t)=\xi+\int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),U(s),V(s)\right)ds-\int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t\in[0,T].$$

First, we remark that Γ is well defined (see Proposition 18).

Let us show that Γ is a contraction w.r.t. the norm $\|(u,v)\|_{1/2,H} := \|u\|_{1/2} + \|v\|_H$, for $(u,v) \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \overline{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$ (for the definition of $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$, see (10)).

For $(U,V),(U',V') \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ and $(Y,Z) = \Gamma(U,V)$, $(Y',Z') = \Gamma(U',V')$, we set $\Delta Y = Y - Y'$, $\Delta Z = Z - Z'$, $\Delta U = U - U'$ and $\Delta V = V - V'$. Using Proposition 20 we know that there exists $C(\beta)$ which can depend on L and T, such that $\lim_{\beta\to\infty} C(\beta) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{t\in[0,T]} e^{2\beta t}\mathbb{E}\left|\Delta Y(t)\right|^2 + \int_0^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}\left|\Delta Y(s)\right|^2 ds + \int_0^T s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|\Delta Z(s)|^2 ds \\ &\qquad \le C(\beta)\left(\int_0^T e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|\Delta U(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^T s^{2H-1}e^{2\beta s}\mathbb{E}|\Delta V(s)|^2 ds\right).\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Taking β large enough such that $C(\beta) \le 1/2$, then Γ becomes a strict contraction on $\mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ w.r.t. the norm $\|(\cdot,\cdot)\|_{1/2,H}$.

Now we define $\{(Y_k, Z_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ recursively by putting $Y_0 = \chi(t,\eta(t))$, $Z_0 = \psi(t,\eta(t))$ for $\chi,\psi \in C_{pol}^{1,3}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} \in C_{pol}^{0,1}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$, and by defining $(Y_{k+1}, Z_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ through the BSDE

$$Y_{k+1}(t) = \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y_k(s),Z_k(s)\right) ds - \int_t^T Z_{k+1}(s) dB^H(s),\ t\in[0,T], \tag{38}$$

$k \ge 0$. From Proposition 18, we know that for all $k \ge 0$, $Y_k(t) = u_k(t,\eta(t))$, $Z_k(t) = v_k(t,\eta(t))$, for suitable $u_k, v_k \in C_{pol}^{1,3}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \in C_{pol}^{0,1}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ such that $Z_k(t) = \sigma(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_k(t,\eta(t))$, $t\in[0,T]$.

Since Γ is contraction, which means that $\{(Y_k,Z_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$, then there exists $(Y,Z) \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$ such that (Y_k,Z_k) converges to (Y,Z) in $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$. Moreover, we can prove that (Y,Z) satisfies

$$Y(t) = \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s)\right) ds - \int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t\in(0,T].$$

Indeed, from (37)

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}|Y_k(t) - Y(t)|^2 = 0,\ t\in[0,T],\ \text{and} \\ &\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\int_0^T |Y_k(s) - Y(s)|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\int_0^T s^{2H-1}|Z_k(s) - Z(s)|^2 ds = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Then for arbitrary $\rho > 0$ and for all $t\in[\rho,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\{-Y_{k+1}(t) + \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y_k(s),Z_k(s)\right) ds\right\} \\ &= -Y(t) + \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s)\right) ds := \theta(t),\ \text{in } L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P),\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

and $Z_k\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]} \to Z\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}; \mathcal{H})$. Therefore, using Definition 1, (38) and (40), we see that for all $F \in \mathcal{P}_T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\left\langle D_{\cdot}^H F, Z(\cdot)\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(\cdot)\right\rangle_T\right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\langle D_{\cdot}^H F, Z_{k+1}(\cdot)\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}(\cdot)\right\rangle_T\right)$$

$$= \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(F\int_t^T Z_{k+1}(s)\delta B^H(s)\right) = \mathbb{E}(F\ \theta(t)).$$

From the definition of the divergence operator δ, it follows that $Z\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]} \in Dom(\delta)$ and $\delta(Z\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}) = \theta(t)$. Consequently, we have

$$Y(t) = \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s, \eta(s), Y(s), Z(s)\right) ds - \int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s), \quad \text{for all } t \in [\rho, T],\ a.s.$$

Considering that ρ is arbitrary, we complete our proof. ■

Proposition 25 *Let $(Y, Z) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ be the solution of BSDE (22) constructed in Theorem 23. Then for almost $t \in (0, T]$,*

$$\mathbb{D}_t^H Y(t) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}(t)}{\sigma(t)} Z(t).$$

Proof. From (38) we know that $(Y_k, Z_k) \in \mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ satisfies

$$Y_{k+1}(t) = \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s, \eta(s), Y_k(s), Z_k(s)\right) ds - \int_t^T Z_{k+1}(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0, T],\ k \geq 1.$$

We recall that $Y_k(t) = u_k(t, \eta(t))$, $Z_k(t) = v_k(t, \eta(t))$, $t \in [0, T]$ and $Z_k(t) = \sigma(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u_k(t, \eta(t))$. Since $(Y_k, Z_k) \to (Y, Z)$ in $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$, there exists a subsequence, by convenience still denoted by $\{(Y_k, Z_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that for arbitrary $\rho > 0$, we have that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}|Y_k(s) - Y(s)|^2 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}|Z_k(s) - Z(s)|^2 = 0, \text{ for almost all } s \in [\rho, T].$$

As a process with the parameter r,

$$D_r Y_k(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t, \eta(t))\sigma(r)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(r) = \frac{\sigma(r)}{\sigma(t)} Z_k(t)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(r) \xrightarrow{L^2([0,T]\times\Omega)} \frac{\sigma(r)}{\sigma(t)} Z(t)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(r),$$
$$\text{as } k \to \infty, \quad \text{for almost all } t \in [\rho, T].$$

On the other hand, since $L^2([0, T]) \subset \mathcal{H}$, we conclude that the convergence also holds in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H})$. Consequently, in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; \mathcal{H})$

$$D_r Y(t) = \lim_{k\to\infty} D_r Y_k(t) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\sigma(r)}{\sigma(t)} Z_k(t)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(r) = \frac{\sigma(r)}{\sigma(t)} Z(t)\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}(r), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [\rho, T],$$

and, thus,

$$\mathbb{D}_t^H Y(t) = \int_0^T \phi(t - r) D_r Y(t) dr = \frac{\hat{\sigma}(t)}{\sigma(t)} Z(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [\rho, T],$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(t)$ is defined by (8). Considering that $\rho > 0$ is arbitrary, we have

$$\mathbb{D}_t^H Y(t) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}(t)}{\sigma(t)} Z(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in (0, T],$$

which completes the proof. ■

4.2 Uniqueness

Before giving our uniqueness result, we introduce the following spaces:

$$\mathcal{M} = \Bigg\{ X \big| X(t) = X(0) - \int_0^t v_s ds - \int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s),\ t \in [0,T] \text{ with}$$

$$u \in \mathcal{V}_T,\ v_s = v(s, \eta(s)),\ \text{where } v \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}) \Bigg\}$$

and $\mathcal{S}_f$, the set of $(Y,Z) \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$ such that

$$(i)\ \mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|Z(s)|^2 ds = \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y(s) f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s))ds,\ t \in [0,T],$$

$$(ii)\ \mathbb{E}\left[Y(t)X(t)\right] + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)} u_s + \mathbb{D}_s^H X(s)\right] Z(s) ds = \mathbb{E}\left[\xi X(T)\right]$$

$$+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[Y(s) v_s + X(s) f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s))\right] ds,\ X \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Theorem 26 *Let the assumptions (H_1)-(H_4) be satisfied. Then BSDE*

$$Y(t) = \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s, \eta(s), Y(s), Z(s)\right) ds - \int_t^T Z(s) \delta B^H(s)$$

has a unique solution $(Y,Z) \in \mathcal{S}_f$.

Proof. We show first that the solution (Y,Z) we constructed in the proof of Theorem 23 belongs to $\mathcal{S}_f$. Indeed, the sequence $\{(Y_k, Z_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ introduced in the proof of Theorem 23 is in $\mathcal{V}_T \times \mathcal{V}_T$ and converges to (Y,Z) in $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$. Applying the Itô formula to Y_{k+1}^2 (see Theorem 9) and taking the expectation we have

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_{k+1}(t)|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|Z_{k+1}(s)|^2 ds = \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y_{k+1}(s) f(s,\eta(s),Y_k(s),Z_k(s))ds. \quad (41)$$

Moreover, from (39) we know

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}|Y_k(t) - Y(t)|^2 = 0,\ t \in [0,T],\ \text{and}$$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\int_t^T |Y_k(s) - Y(s)|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|Z_k(s) - Z(s)|^2 ds = 0,\ t \in [0,T].$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in (41), it follows that, for arbitrary $\rho > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|Z(s)|^2 ds = \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y(s) f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s))ds,\ t \in [\rho,T]. \quad (42)$$

On the other hand, for any $X \in \mathcal{M}$, we deduce from Theorem 9,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{k+1}(t)X(t)\right] + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[u_s \mathbb{D}_s^H Y_{k+1}(s) + Z_{k+1}(s)\mathbb{D}_s^H X(s)\right] ds \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\xi X(T)\right] + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[Y_{k+1}(s)v_s + X(s)f(s,\eta(s),Y_k(s),Z_k(s))\right] ds.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in the above equation and recalling that $\mathbb{D}_s^H Y_{k+1}(s) = \dfrac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)} Z_{k+1}(s)$, we obtain for arbitrary $\rho > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[Y(t)X(t)\right] + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}u_s + \mathbb{D}_s^H X(s)\right] Z(s)ds \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\xi X(T)\right] + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[Y(s)v_s + X(s)f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s))\right] ds,\ t \in [\rho,T].\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Consequently, (42) and (43) yield that $(Y,Z) \in \mathcal{S}_f$.

Now it remains to show the uniqueness in the class $\mathcal{S}_f$. We suppose that $(\tilde{Y},\tilde{Z}) \in \mathcal{S}_f$ is another solution of BSDE (22). Then for arbitrary $\rho > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\tilde{Y}(t)|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|\tilde{Z}(s)|^2 ds = \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \tilde{Y}(s)f(s,\eta(s),\tilde{Y}(s),\tilde{Z}(s))ds,\ t \in [\rho,T]. \tag{44}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Y}(t)Y_{k+1}(t)\right] + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T 2\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)} Z_{k+1}(s)\tilde{Z}(s)ds \\ &= \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[\tilde{Y}(s)f(s,\eta(s),Y_k(s),Z_k(s)) + Y_{k+1}(s)f(s,\eta(s),\tilde{Y}(s),\tilde{Z}(s))\right] ds,\ t \in [\rho,T],\end{aligned}$$

and letting $k \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{Y}(t)Y(t)\right] + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T 2\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)} Z(s)\tilde{Z}(s)ds \\ &= \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[\tilde{Y}(s)f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s)) + Y(s)f(s,\eta(s),\tilde{Y}(s),\tilde{Z}(s))\right] ds,\ t \in [\rho,T].\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Thus, from (42), (44) and (45) as well as $\mathbb{E}|Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)|^2 = \mathbb{E}|Y(t)|^2 - 2\mathbb{E}\left[Y(t)\tilde{Y}(t)\right] + \mathbb{E}|\tilde{Y}(t)|^2$ we have, for $t \in [\rho,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|Z(s)-\tilde{Z}(s)|^2 ds \\ &= \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left[Y(s)-\tilde{Y}(s)\right]\left[f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s)) - f(s,\eta(s),\tilde{Y}(s),\tilde{Z}(s))\right] ds \\ &\le \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left(L|Y(s)-\tilde{Y}(s)|^2 + L^2 M s^{1-2H}|Y(s)-\tilde{Y}(s)|^2 + \frac{1}{M}s^{2H-1}|Z(s)-\tilde{Z}(s)|^2\right) ds.\end{aligned}$$

where M is the constant introduced in Remark 6. Then, using Remark 6

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)|^2+\frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|Z(s)-\tilde{Z}(s)|^2ds\\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\int_t^T (L+L^2Ms^{1-2H})|Y(s)-\tilde{Y}(s)|^2ds\end{aligned}$$

and Gronwall's inequality yields that

$$\mathbb{E}|Y(t)-\tilde{Y}(t)|^2+\frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|Z(s)-\tilde{Z}(s)|^2ds=0,\ t\in[\rho,T].$$

Since $\rho>0$ is arbitrary, our proof is complete now. ■

5 Fractional backward stochastic variational inequality

Let us now consider the following BSVI driven by a fBm:

$$\begin{cases} -dY(t)+\partial\varphi(Y(t))dt\ni f(t,\eta(t),Y(t),Z(t))dt-Z(t)\delta B^H(t), & t\in[0,T],\\ Y(T)=\xi, \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

where the coefficients satisfy (H_1)-(H_4) and $\partial\varphi$ is the subdifferential of the function $\varphi:\mathbb{R}\to(-\infty,+\infty]$ satisfying

(H_5) φ is a lower semicontinuous (l.s.c.) function with $\varphi(x)\geq\varphi(0)=0$, for all $x\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{E}|\varphi(\xi)|<\infty$ (Recall that $\xi=g(\eta(T))$).

Let us introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}&Dom\ \varphi=\{u\in\mathbb{R}:\varphi(u)<\infty\},\\ &\partial\varphi(u)=\{u^*\in\mathbb{R}:u^*(v-u)+\varphi(u)\leq\varphi(v),\ \text{for all } v\in\mathbb{R}\},\\ &Dom(\partial\varphi)=\{u\in\mathbb{R}:\partial\varphi(u)\neq\emptyset\},\\ &(u,u^*)\in\partial\varphi\Leftrightarrow u\in Dom(\partial\varphi),u^*\in\partial\varphi(u).\end{aligned}$$

We know that the multivalued subdifferential operator $\partial\varphi$ is a monotone operator, i.e.,

$$(u^*-v^*)(u-v)\geq 0,\ \text{ for all } (u,u^*),(v,v^*)\in\partial\varphi.$$

Now we give the definition of the solution for BSVI (46).

Definition 27 *A triple (Y,Z,U) is a solution for BSVI (46), if:*

(a_1) $Y,\ U\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$ *and* $Z\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{2H-1/2}$,

(a_2) $(Y(t),U(t))\in\partial\varphi,\ dP\otimes dt$ *a.e. on* $\Omega\times[0,T]$,

(a_3) $Y(t)+\int_t^T U(s)ds=\xi+\int_t^T f(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s))\,ds-\int_t^T Z(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t\in(0,T],$ *a.s.*

In this section, our objective is to show the following existence result:

Theorem 28 *Let the assumptions (H_1)-(H_5) be satisfied. There exists a solution of BSVI (46).*

5.1 A priori estimates

We consider the penalized BSDE by using the Moreau-Yosida approximation of φ:

$$Y^\varepsilon(t) + \int_t^T \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))ds = \xi + \int_t^T f\left(s, \eta(s), Y^\varepsilon(s), Z^\varepsilon(s)\right) ds - \int_t^T Z^\varepsilon(s)\delta B^H\left(s\right). \quad (47)$$

Recall that the regularization φ_ε of φ is defined by:

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(u) := \inf\left\{\frac{1}{2\varepsilon}|u-v|^2 + \varphi(v) : v \in \mathbb{R}\right\},\ u \in \mathbb{R},\ \varepsilon > 0.$$

It is well-known that φ_ε is a convex function of class C^1 on $\mathbb{R}$ and its gradient $\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon$ is a Lipschitz function with Lipschitz constant $1/\varepsilon$. Let

$$J_\varepsilon u = u - \varepsilon\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u),\ u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, the following properties hold true (see [4] and [16]).

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a) \quad \varphi_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u)|^2 + \varphi(J_\varepsilon u),\\
&(b) \quad |J_\varepsilon u - J_\varepsilon v| \le |u - v|,\\
&(c) \quad \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u) \in \partial\varphi(J_\varepsilon u),\\
&(d) \quad 0 \le \varphi_\varepsilon(u) \le u\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u),\\
&(e) \quad \left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u) - \nabla\varphi_\delta(v)\right)(u - v) \ge -\left(\varepsilon + \delta\right)\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u)\nabla\varphi_\delta(v).
\end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Theorem 29 *Let the assumptions* (H_1)*-*(H_5) *be satisfied. Then, for all* $\varepsilon > 0$*, the penalized BSDE (47) has a solution* $(Y^\varepsilon, Z^\varepsilon) \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2} \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$ *such that, for* $t \in (0, T]$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|Y^\varepsilon(t)|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|Z^\varepsilon(s)|^2 ds = \mathbb{E}|\xi|^2 + 2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y^\varepsilon(s) f(s, \eta(s), Y^\varepsilon(s), Z^\varepsilon(s))ds\\
-2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y^\varepsilon(s)\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))ds.
\end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Proof. In order to use Theorem 26, we mollify $\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon$ in a standard way:

$$\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(x - \alpha u)\lambda(u)du,\ x \in \mathbb{R},\ \text{where } \lambda(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}},\ u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Considering that φ_ε is convex and $\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $1/\varepsilon$, $\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha$ has the following properties for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$:

(*i*) $\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha$ belongs to $C^1_{pol}(\mathbb{R})$, and is convex;

(*ii*) $\left|\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^{\alpha_1}(x_1) - \left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^{\alpha_2}(x_2)\right| \le \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}|x_1 - x_2| + \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\dfrac{2}{\pi}}|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|$.

Now we consider the following mollified BSDE

$$Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)+\int_t^T\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds=\xi+\int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds-\int_t^T Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\delta B^H(s)\,. \tag{50}$$

From Theorem 26, we obtain that (50) admits a unique solution $(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha},Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha})$ in $\mathcal{S}_{f,\varepsilon,\alpha}:=\mathcal{S}_{f-(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon)^\alpha}\subset\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2}\times\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$, This solution $(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha},Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha})$ can be approximated by the sequence $(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha},Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha})\in\mathcal{V}_T\times\mathcal{V}_T$, $k\geq 0$ constructed by the following method: Define $(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha},Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha})$, $k\geq 0$ recursively: $Y^{0,\varepsilon,\alpha}=\chi(t,\eta(t))$, $Z^{0,\varepsilon,\alpha}=\psi(t,\eta(t))$ for $\chi,\psi\in C_{pol}^{1,3}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ with $\dfrac{\partial\chi}{\partial t},\dfrac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}\in C_{pol}^{0,1}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$, and let $(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha},Z^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha})\in\mathcal{V}_T\times\mathcal{V}_T$ be the unique solution of the BSDE

$$\begin{aligned}Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)+\int_t^T\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds&=\xi+\int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\\&\quad-\int_t^T Z^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t\in[0,T].\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Similar to (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}|Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)|^2=0,\ t\in[0,T],\ \text{and}\\&\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T s^{2H-1}|Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds=0.\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Moreover, analogously to (42), we show that, for arbitrary $\rho>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)|^2+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds&=\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2-2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\\&\quad+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)f(s,\eta(s),Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))ds,\ t\in[\rho,T],\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(t)|^2+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|\Delta Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds=2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)\Delta f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)ds\\&-2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)\left(\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^{\alpha_1}\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}(s)\right)-\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^{\alpha_2}\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}(s)\right)\right)ds,\ t\in[\rho,T],\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)=Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}(s)$, $\Delta Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)=Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}(s)$ and $\Delta f^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)=f(s,\eta(s),Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1}(s))-f(s,\eta(s),Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_2}(s)),\ s\in[0,T]$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(t)|^2+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|\Delta Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds\leq\mathbb{E}\int_t^T(2L+L^2Ms^{1-2H})|\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds\\&+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\frac{1}{M}s^{2H-1}|\Delta Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds+\frac{3}{\varepsilon}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds+\frac{2T}{\pi}|\alpha_1-\alpha_2|^2,\ t\in[\rho,T],\end{aligned}$$

(by using the property for $(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon)^\alpha$) where M is the constant given by Remark 6. Then, using (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(t)|^2+\frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|\Delta Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds\\
&\leq \frac{2T}{\pi}|\alpha_1-\alpha_2|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T(2L+\frac{3}{\varepsilon}+L^2Ms^{1-2H})|\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds,\ t\in[\rho,T],
\end{aligned}$$

and Gronwall's inequality yields that

$$\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(t)|^2+\frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|\Delta Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(s)|^2ds\leq M_{\varepsilon,L,T}|\alpha_1-\alpha_2|^2,\ t\in[\rho,T],$$

where $M_{\varepsilon,L,T}$ is a constant depending only on ε,L,T but independent of $\rho>0$. Consequently, taking into account the arbitrariness of $\rho>0$, there exists a couple of processes $(Y^\varepsilon,Z^\varepsilon)$ with $Y^\varepsilon\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]}\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{1/2}$, $Z^\varepsilon\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]}\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H$ for all $\rho>0$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\alpha\to0}\mathbb{E}|Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)-Y^\varepsilon(t)|^2=0,\ \text{ for all } t\in[\rho,T],\\
&\lim_{\alpha\to0}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Y^\varepsilon(s)|^2ds+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Z^\varepsilon(s)|^2ds=0,\ \text{ for all } t\in[\rho,T],
\end{aligned}\tag{54}$$

Now let $\alpha\to0$, and by using (50) and a similar discussion as in Theorem 23, we obtain that $Z^\varepsilon\mathbf{1}_{[t,T]}\in Dom(\delta)$, $t\in(0,T]$, and

$$Y^\varepsilon(t)+\int_t^T\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))ds=\xi+\int_t^T f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s)\right)ds-\int_t^T Z^\varepsilon(s)\delta B^H(s),\ t\in(0,T].$$

Moreover, taking $\alpha\to0$ in (53) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|Y^\varepsilon(t)|^2+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\frac{\hat\sigma(s)}{\sigma(s)}|Z^\varepsilon(s)|^2ds&=\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y^\varepsilon(s)f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s))ds\\
&\quad-2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T Y^\varepsilon(s)\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))ds,\ t\in(0,T].
\end{aligned}$$

■

The next three propositions provide a priori estimates for the sequence $(Y^\varepsilon,Z^\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon>0$.

Proposition 30 *Let the assumptions (H_1)-(H_5) be satisfied. Let $(Y^\varepsilon,Z^\varepsilon)$ be the solution constructed in the proof of Theorem 29. Then there exists a positive constant C independent of $\varepsilon>0$, such that, for all $t\in[0,T]$,*

$$\mathbb{E}|Y^\varepsilon(t)|^2+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|Z^\varepsilon(s)|^2ds\leq C\ \Gamma_1(T),$$

where $\Gamma_1(T)=\mathbb{E}\left[|\xi|^2+\int_0^T|\eta(s)|^2ds+\int_0^T|f(s,0,0,0)|^2ds\right]$.

Proof. From (49), (9-b) and $u\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u)\geq 0$, for all $u\in\mathbb{R}$, we have, for $t\in(0,T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left|Y^\varepsilon(t)\right|^2+\frac{2}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|Z^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2 ds\leq \mathbb{E}\left|\xi\right|^2+2\int_t^T\mathbb{E}\left[Y^\varepsilon(s)f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s)\right)\right]ds.$$

On the other hand, from assumption (H_3) and Schwartz's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&2Y^\varepsilon(s)f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s))\\&\quad\leq 2\left|Y^\varepsilon(s)\right|\big(\left|f\left(s,0,0,0\right)\right|+L\left|\eta(s)\right|+L\left|Y^\varepsilon(s)\right|+L\left|Z^\varepsilon(s)\right|\big)\\&\quad\leq\left|f\left(s,0,0,0\right)\right|^2+\left|\eta(s)\right|^2+\left(1+L^2+2L+L^2M\frac{1}{s^{2H-1}}\right)\left|Y^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2+\frac{1}{M}s^{2H-1}\left|Z^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left|Y^\varepsilon(t)\right|^2+\frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|Z^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2 ds&\leq\mathbb{E}|\xi|^2+\int_t^T\mathbb{E}\left|f\left(s,0,0,0\right)\right|^2 ds+\int_t^T\mathbb{E}\left|\eta(s)\right|^2 ds\\&\quad+\int_t^T\left(1+L^2+2L+L^2M\frac{1}{s^{2H-1}}\right)\mathbb{E}\left|Y^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2 ds.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Gronwall's inequality we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left|Y^\varepsilon(t)\right|^2+\frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|Z^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2 ds\\&\quad\leq\Gamma_1(T)\exp\left[\left(1+L^2+2L\right)(T-t)+L^2M\frac{T^{2-2H}-t^{2-2H}}{2-2H}\right],\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. ■

Proposition 31 *Let the assumptions* (H_1)*-*(H_5) *be satisfied. Then there exists a positive constant* C *such that, for all* $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}(i)&\quad\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)\right|^2 ds\leq C\ \Gamma_2(T),\\(ii)&\quad t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi\left(J_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(t)\right)\right)\right]\leq C\ \Gamma_2(T),\\(iii)&\quad t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y^\varepsilon(t)-J_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(t)\right)\right|^2\right]\leq\varepsilon C\ \Gamma_2(T),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_2(T)=\mathbb{E}\big[\left|\xi\right|^2+\varphi(\xi)+\int_0^T|\eta(s)|^2ds+\int_0^T\left|f(s,0,0,0)\right|^2 ds\big]$.

In order to obtain the above propsition it is essential to use the following fractional stochastic subdifferential inequality:

Lemma 32 *Let* $\psi:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}_+$ *be a convex* C^1 *function which derivative* $\nabla\psi$ *is a Lipschitz function (with Lipschitz constant denoted by* K*). Then, for all* $t\in(0,T]$*,* P*-a.s.*

$$\begin{aligned}&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi\left(Y^\varepsilon(t)\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))ds\\&\leq T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(\xi)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s))ds.\end{aligned}\tag{55}$$

Proof. We first show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi\left(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi\left(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon)^\alpha(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))ds\\
&\le T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi\left(\xi\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi\left(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)f(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))ds,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha},Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha})\in\mathcal{V}_T\times\mathcal{V}_T$ is defined through (51). We mollify the function ψ by setting, for $\theta>0$, $\psi^\theta(x):=\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x-\theta u)\lambda(u)du$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$, where $\lambda(u)=\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}$, $u\in\mathbb{R}$. From the convexity of ψ follows that ψ^θ is convex. Moreover $\psi^\theta\ge 0$. The generalized Itô formula (see (67) in Remark 35) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{2H-1}\psi^\theta(\xi)&=t^{2H-1}\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))+(2H-1)\int_t^T s^{2H-2}\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))ds\\
&-\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\\
&+\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\\
&+\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))Z^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\delta B^H(s)\\
&+\int_t^T s^{2H-1}D^2_{xx}\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))Z^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\mathbb{D}^H_sY^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{56}
$$

Now taking the expectation in (56), by using $\psi^\theta\ge 0$, the convexity of ψ^θ and the fact that $\mathbb{D}^H_sY^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)=\dfrac{\hat\sigma(s)}{\sigma(s)}Z^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\\
&\le T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\psi^\theta(\xi)+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{57}
$$

Considering that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\nabla\psi^\theta(x)-\nabla\psi(x)\right|=\left|\nabla\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(x-\theta u)\lambda(u)du-\nabla\psi(x)\right|\\
&\le\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\nabla\psi(x-\theta u)-\nabla\psi(x)\right|\lambda(u)du\le\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}K|\theta|,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\bigg|\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))ds\\
&\qquad -\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))ds\bigg|\\
&\le T^{2H-1}\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}K|\theta|\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left|\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\right|ds\to 0,\ \text{as}\ \theta\to 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\\
&\to\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\to 0,\ \text{as}\ \theta\to 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, using Fatou's Lemma (recalling that $\psi\ge 0$), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\liminf_{\theta\to 0}\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]\le\liminf_{\theta\to 0}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi^\theta(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right].
$$

On the other hand, we know that ψ is quadratic growth, therefore there exists a suitable constant C, such that

$$
|\psi^\theta(x)|\le\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\psi(x-\theta u)|\lambda(u)du\le C(1+x^2+\theta^2).
$$

From (H_4) and (11), it follows $\sup_{\theta\le 1}\mathbb{E}\left[|\psi^\theta(\xi)|\right]^2<\infty$, which implies that $\{\psi^\theta(\xi)\}_{\theta\le 1}$ is uniformly integrable. Then considering that $\psi^\theta(\xi)\xrightarrow[P-a.s.]{\theta\to 0}\psi(\xi)$, we have $\mathbb{E}[\psi^\theta(\xi)]\to\mathbb{E}[\psi(\xi)]$. Consequently, letting $\theta\to 0$ in (57) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))ds\\
&\le T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(\xi)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{58}
$$

Recalling that (see (52))

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}|Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)|^2=0,\ t\in[0,T],\ \text{and}\\
&\lim_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds+\mathbb{E}\int_0^T s^{2H-1}|Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)\xrightarrow[P-a.s.]{k\to\infty}Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t)$, for all $t\in[0,T]$ and then also $\psi(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\xrightarrow[P-a.s.]{k\to\infty}\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))$, for all $t\in[0,T]$. Thus, using Fatou's Lemma once again (recalling that $\psi\ge 0$), we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]\le\liminf_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right].
$$

Considering that $\nabla\psi$ and $(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon)^\alpha$ are Lipschitz with the Lipschitz constant K and $1/\varepsilon$, respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\bigg|\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds \\
&\qquad\qquad -\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\bigg| \\
&\le T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|\nabla\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))-\nabla\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\right|\left|\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)\right|ds \\
&\qquad\qquad +T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|\nabla\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\right|\left|\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)-\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)\right|ds \\
&\le MT^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right|\left|\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)\right|ds \\
&\qquad\qquad +\frac{1}{\varepsilon}T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \left|\nabla\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\right|\left|Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right|ds\to 0,\ \text{ as } k\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Indeed, using that the functions $\nabla\psi$ and $(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon)^\alpha$ are of linear growth, $\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T|Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds\le C$, $k\ge 1$, and $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\int_0^T|Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)-Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)|^2ds=0$, we can obtain the above convergence with the help of Hölder inequality. Similarly we show

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{k,\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds \\
&\to\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds\to 0,\ \text{ as } k\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Again with the help of Fatou's Lemma we see that $\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]\le\liminf\limits_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(Y^{k+1,\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]$. Consequently, letting $k\to\infty$ in (58) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t))\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))\left(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\right)^\alpha\left(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds \\
&\le T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\psi(\xi)+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi(Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s))f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s),Z^{\varepsilon,\alpha}(s)\right)ds,\ t\in[0,T].
\end{aligned}\tag{59}$$

A similar argument allows to take the limit $\alpha\to 0$ in (59) (using (54)), it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi\left(Y^\varepsilon(t)\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))ds \\
&\le T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\psi(\xi)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\psi\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s))ds,\ t\in(0,T],
\end{aligned}$$

and the statement is proven. ■

Now we are able to give the proof of Proposition 31.

Proof of Proposition 31. We consider $\psi(x)=\varphi_\varepsilon(x)$, $x\in\mathbb{R}$, and applying (55) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(t))\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))\right|^2 ds\\ &\leq T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi_\varepsilon(\xi)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s))ds,\ \ s\in[0,T].\end{aligned}$$

Since $0\leq\varphi_\varepsilon(u)\leq\varphi(u)$, $u\in\mathbb{R}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(t)\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)\right|^2 ds\\ &\quad\leq T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\xi)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\left[\frac{1}{2}s^{2H-1}\left|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)\right|^2+\frac{1}{2}s^{2H-1}\left|f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s)\right)\right|^2\right]ds\\ &\quad\leq T^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi(\xi)\right]+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)\right|^2 ds\\ &\qquad+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\big(\left|f\left(s,0,0,0\right)\right|^2+L^2\left|\eta(s)\right|^2+L^2\left|Y^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2+L^2\left|Z^\varepsilon(s)\right|^2\big)ds.\end{aligned}$$

Considering Proposition 30, we see that

$$t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[\varphi_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(t)\right)\right]+\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\left|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon\left(Y^\varepsilon(s)\right)\right|^2 ds\leq C\ \Gamma_2(T).$$

Therefore, since $\varphi(J_\varepsilon u)\leq\varphi_\varepsilon(u)$, $u\in\mathbb{R}$, we have proven (i) and (ii) of the proposition.

Finally, in order to obtain (iii) is suffices to remark that $\left|u-J_\varepsilon(u)\right|^2=|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u)|^2\leq 2\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(u)$, $u\in\mathbb{R}$. ■

Proposition 33 *Let the assumptions* (H_1)*-*(H_5) *be satisfied. Then there exists a positive constant* C *such that, for all* $\varepsilon,\delta>0$,

$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]}s^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left|Y^\varepsilon(s)-Y^\delta(s)\right|^2 ds+\int_0^T s^{2(2H-1)}\mathbb{E}\left|Z^\varepsilon(s)-Z^\delta(s)\right|^2 ds\leq(\varepsilon+\delta)C\ \Gamma_2(T).$$

Proof. Similarly to (49), we have, for $t\in(0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y(t)|^2+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)}|\Delta Z(s)|^2ds&=-2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\Delta Y(s)[\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))-\nabla\varphi_\delta(Y^\delta(s))]ds\\ &\quad+2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T\Delta Y(s)[f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s))-f(s,\eta(s),Y^\delta(s),Z^\delta(s))]ds\end{aligned}\tag{60}$$

where $\Delta Y\left(t\right)=Y^\varepsilon(t)-Y^\delta(t)$ and $\Delta Z\left(t\right)=Z^\varepsilon(t)-Z^\delta(t)$. Then from

$$d\left(s^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}\left[|\Delta Y(s)^2\right]\right)=s^{2H-1}d\mathbb{E}\left[|\Delta Y(s)^2\right]+(2H-1)s^{2H-2}\mathbb{E}\left[|\Delta Y(s)^2\right]$$

as well as (60), we deduce that for $t \in (0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y(t)|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T (2H-1)\, s^{2H-2}|\Delta Y(s)|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T 2\frac{\hat{\sigma}(s)}{\sigma(s)} s^{2H-1}|\Delta Z(s)|^2 ds \\
&= -2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\Delta Y(s)[\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s)) - \nabla\varphi_\delta(Y^\delta(s))]ds \qquad (61)\\
&\quad +2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\Delta Y(s)[f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s)) - f(s,\eta(s),Y^\delta(s),Z^\delta(s))]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&2s^{2H-1}|\Delta Y\,(s)\,||f(s,\eta(s),Y^\varepsilon(s),Z^\varepsilon(s)) - f(s,\eta(s),Y^\delta(s),Z^\delta(s))| \\
&\quad \le 2Ls^{2H-1}|\Delta Y\,(s)\,|^2 + 2Ls^{2H-1}|\Delta Y\,(s)\,|\;|\Delta Z\,(s)\,| \\
&\quad \le \Big(2L + L^2 M\frac{1}{s^{2H-1}}\Big)s^{2H-1}|\Delta Y\,(s)\,|^2 + \frac{1}{M}s^{2(2H-1)}|\Delta Z\,(s)\,|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

(61) yields (Recall(9-b))

$$\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y(t)|^2 + \frac{2}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2(2H-1)}|\Delta Z(s)|^2 ds + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T (2H-1)\, s^{2H-2}|\Delta Y(s)|^2 ds \\
&\quad \le \mathbb{E}\int_t^T \Big(2L + L^2 M\frac{1}{s^{2H-1}}\Big)s^{2H-1}|\Delta Y(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2(2H-1)}|\Delta Z(s)|^2 ds \qquad (62)\\
&\qquad -2\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}\Delta Y(s)[\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s)) - \nabla\varphi_\delta(Y^\delta(s))]ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the following inequality (see (48-e))

$$(\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u) - \nabla\varphi_\delta(v))\,(u-v) \ge -\,(\varepsilon+\delta)\,\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(u)\nabla\varphi_\delta(v).$$

and Proposition 31 (i) as well as Gronwall's inequality, we conclude from (62) that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&t^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}|\Delta Y(t)|^2 + \mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2(2H-1)}|\Delta Z(s)|^2 ds \\
&\quad \le C\,(\varepsilon+\delta)\,\mathbb{E}\int_t^T s^{2H-1}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))||\nabla\varphi_\delta(Y^\delta(s))|ds \\
&\quad \le C\,(\varepsilon+\delta)\,\mathbb{E}\int_t^T \big(s^{2H-1}|\nabla\varphi_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(s))|^2 + s^{2H-1}|\nabla\varphi_\delta(Y^\delta(s))|^2\big)\,ds \le C(\varepsilon+\delta)\Gamma_2(T),
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. ■

5.2 Proof of the existence of the solution

Proof of Theorem 28. For arbitrary $\rho > 0$, by Proposition 33, there exist $(Y,Z) \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^H \times \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{2H-1/2}$ such that

$$\sup_{s\in[0,T]} s^{2H-1}\mathbb{E}|Y^\varepsilon(s) - Y(s)|^2 ds \to 0 \text{ and } \int_0^T s^{2(2H-1)}\mathbb{E}|Z^\varepsilon(s) - Z(s)|^2 ds \to 0, \qquad (63)$$

From Proposition 31 (iii), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\mathbb{E}\left|Y^{\varepsilon}(t)-J_{\varepsilon}\left(Y^{\varepsilon}(t)\right)\right|^{2}=0 \text{ for all } t\in[0,T],\\ &\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}J_{\varepsilon}(Y^{\varepsilon})=Y \text{ in } \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{T}^{H}. \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

For each $\varepsilon>0$, let $U^{\varepsilon}(t)=\nabla\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(Y^{\varepsilon}(t)\right)$, $t\in[0,T]$. The process U^{ε} belongs to the space $\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{T}^{H}$ (see Lemma 37 in the Appendix). From Proposition 31 (i), we obtain that

$$||U^{\varepsilon}||_{H}^{2}=\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{T}s^{2H-1}|U^{\varepsilon}(s)|^{2}ds\leq C\Gamma_{2}(T),\ \varepsilon>0.$$

Hence, there exists a subsequence $\varepsilon_{n}\to 0$ and a process $U\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{T}^{H}$ such that

$$U^{\varepsilon_{n}}\xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{n}\to 0}U,\ \text{weakly in the Hilbert space } \bar{\mathcal{V}}_{T}^{H}. \tag{65}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{T}s^{2H-1}\left|U(s)\right|^{2}ds\leq\liminf_{\varepsilon_{n}\to 0}\mathbb{E}\int_{0}^{T}s^{2H-1}\left|U^{\varepsilon_{n}}(s)\right|^{2}ds\leq C\ \Gamma_{2}(T).$$

From equation (47) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t}^{T}Z^{\varepsilon_{n}}(s)\delta B^{H}(s)&=-Y^{\varepsilon_{n}}(t)-\int_{t}^{T}U^{\varepsilon_{n}}(s)ds+\xi+\int_{t}^{T}f\left(s,\eta(s),Y^{\varepsilon_{n}}(s),Z^{\varepsilon_{n}}(s)\right)ds\\ &:=\theta^{\varepsilon_{n}}(t),\ t\in(0,T],\ n\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $Z^{\varepsilon_{n}}\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]}$ converges to $Z\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P;\mathcal{H})$ for all $\rho>0$ and $Z^{\varepsilon_{n}}I_{[\rho,T]}\in Dom(\delta)$, then we apply Definition 1 and we obtain for all $F\in\mathcal{P}_{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\langle D_{\cdot}^{H}F,Z(\cdot)\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]}(\cdot)\right\rangle_{T}\right)&=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(\left\langle D_{\cdot}^{H}F,Z^{\varepsilon_{n}}(\cdot)\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]}(\cdot)\right\rangle_{T}\right)\\ =\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left(F\int_{\rho}^{T}Z^{\varepsilon_{n}}(s)(s)\delta B^{H}(s)\right)&=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}(F\ \theta^{\varepsilon_{n}}(\rho))=\mathbb{E}(F\ \theta(\rho)), \end{aligned}$$

where it follows from (63) and (65) that

$$\theta(t)=-Y(t)-\int_{t}^{T}U(s)ds+\xi+\int_{t}^{T}f\left(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s)\right)ds$$

is the weak limit in $L^{2}(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ of $\theta^{\varepsilon_{n}}(t)$ as $n\to\infty$, $t\in(0,T]$. From the definition of the divergence operator, it follows that $Z\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]}\in Dom(\delta)$ and $\delta(Z\mathbf{1}_{[\rho,T]})=\theta(\rho)$, P-a.s. Consequently, since $\rho>0$ is arbitrarily chosen, we have

$$Y(t)+\int_{t}^{T}U(s)ds=\xi+\int_{t}^{T}f\left(s,\eta(s),Y(s),Z(s)\right)ds-\int_{t}^{T}Z(s)\delta B^{H}(s),\ \text{for all } t\in(0,T]. \tag{66}$$

Moreover, since $U^{\varepsilon}(t)\in\partial\varphi(J_{\varepsilon}(Y^{\varepsilon}(t))$, for $t\in[0,T]$, we have

$$U^{\varepsilon}(t)(V(t)-J_{\varepsilon}(Y^{\varepsilon}(t)))+\varphi(J_{\varepsilon}(Y^{\varepsilon}(t)))\leq\varphi(V(t)),\ \text{for all } V\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_{T}^{H},\ t\in[0,T],$$

and we deduce that, for all $A \times [a,b] \subset \Omega \times [0,T]$, $A \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_a^b s^{2H-1}\mathbf{1}_A U^\varepsilon(t)\big(V(t) - J_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(t))\big)dt\Big) + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_a^b s^{2H-1}\mathbf{1}_A \varphi(J_\varepsilon(Y^\varepsilon(t)))dt\Big)$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_a^b s^{2H-1}\mathbf{1}_A \varphi(V(t))dt\Big).$$

Considering that φ is a proper convex l.s.c. function, hence (64) and (65) yield that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\int_a^b s^{2H-1}\mathbf{1}_A U(t)(V(t) - Y(t))dt\Big) + \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_a^b s^{2H-1}\mathbf{1}_A \varphi(Y(t))dt\Big)$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}\Big(\int_a^b s^{2H-1}\mathbf{1}_A \varphi(V(t))dt\Big), \text{ for all } A \times [a,b] \subset \Omega \times [0,T].$$

Therefore,

$$U(t)(V(t) - Y(t)) + \varphi(Y(t)) \leq \varphi(V(t)) \ dP \otimes dt \ a.e. \text{ on } \Omega \times [0,T],$$

which means that

$$(Y(t), U(t)) \in \partial\varphi, \ dP \otimes dt \ a.e. \text{ on } \Omega \times [0,T].$$

This together with (66) complete the proof. ■

6 Appendix

Theorem 34 *Let ψ be a function of class $C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$. Assume that u is a process in $\mathcal{V}_T$ and $f \in C^{0,1}_{pol}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$. Let*

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t f(s,\eta(s))ds + \int_0^t u_s \delta B^H(s), \ s \in [0,T]$$

Then for all $t \in [0,T]$, the following formula holds

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t,X_t) = \psi(0,X_0) &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\psi(s,X_s)ds + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)f(s,X_s)ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\delta B^H(s) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\mathbb{D}^H_s X_s ds.\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Remark 35 *Since $u \in \mathcal{V}_T$, we know that u is adapted. Then, due to the definition of $\mathbb{D}^H_s$ (see (3)), we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{D}^H_s X_s &= \int_0^s \phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^s D_r f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)dr + \int_0^s \left(\int_0^\theta \phi(s-r)D_r u_\theta dr\right)\delta B^H(\theta) \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \phi(s-r)u_\theta d\theta \\ &= \int_0^T \phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^s D_r f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)dr + \int_0^T \phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^s D_r u_\theta \delta B^H(\theta)\right)dr \\ &\quad + \int_0^s \phi(s-r)u_\theta d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 34. We follow the similar discussion as in the proof of Theorem 8 [3]. Here, we only give the sketch of the proof.

First we mention that since $u \in \mathcal{V}_T$, we have $\mathbb{E}|u_s|^2 + \mathbb{E}|D^H_\tau u_s|^2 + \mathbb{E}|D^H_{\tau_1} D^H_{\tau_2} u_s|^2 \le C$, for all s, τ, τ_1, τ_2, where C is a suitable constant.

Similar to the discussion as in the proof of Theorem 8 [3], we can assume that ψ, $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}$ are bounded. Set $t_i = \frac{it}{n}$, $0 \le i \le n$. Then

$$\psi(t, X_t) - \psi(0, X_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\psi(t_{i+1}, X_{t_{i+1}}) - \psi(t_i, X_{t_{i+1}}) + \psi(t_i, X_{t_{i+1}}) - \psi(t_i, X_{t_i})\right]$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\bar{t}_i, X_{t_{i+1}})(t_{i+1} - t_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i})\right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i})^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\bar{t}_i, X_{t_{i+1}})(t_{i+1} - t_i) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(s, \eta(s))ds\right]$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} u_s \delta B^H(s) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i})^2$$

where $\bar{t}_i \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $\bar{X}_{t_i}$ denotes a random intermediate point between X_{t_i} and $X_{t_{i+1}}$. Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} u_s \delta B^H(s) = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i}) u_s \delta B^H(s) + \langle D^H(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})), u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T,$$

(for $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_T$, see (2)). Observe that from our assumption, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})u \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(|\mathcal{H}|)$ and all the terms in the above inequality are square integrable. Moreover,

$$\langle D^H(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})), u\mathbf{1}_{t_i, t_{i+1}}\rangle_T = \langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})\int_0^{t_i} D^H f(\theta, \eta(\theta))d\theta, u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T$$

$$+ \langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})u\mathbf{1}_{[0, t_i]}, u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T + \langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, X_{t_i})\int_0^{t_i} D^H u_\theta \delta B^H(\theta), u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T.$$

Now we use the following several steps to proof our theorem.

Step 1 The term

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i})^2$$

converges to 0 in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ as $n \to \infty$. In fact,

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i})(X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i})^2\right|$$

$$\le \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i})\right| \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(\theta, \eta(\theta))ds\right)^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i})\right| \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} u_\theta \delta B^H(\theta)\right)^2.$$

Then our result holds because of Proposition 7 [3] as well as

$$\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(\theta,\eta(\theta))ds\right)^2 \leq \frac{t}{n}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}|f(\theta,\eta(\theta))|^2 ds \to 0, \text{ as } n\to\infty.$$

Step 2 The term

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(\bar{t}_i, X_{t_{i+1}})(t_{i+1}-t_i) \to \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(s,X_s)ds, \text{ in } L^1(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P) \text{ as } n\to\infty,$$

by the dominate convergence theorem and the continuity of $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}$.

Step 3 The term

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} f(s,\eta(s))ds\\ &\to \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)f(s,\eta(s))ds, \text{ in } L^1(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P) \text{ as } n\to\infty,\end{aligned}$$

by the dominate convergence theorem and the continuity of $\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}$.

Step 4 The term

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})\int_0^{t_i} D^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta, u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T\\ &\to \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^s D_r f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)dr\right)ds\end{aligned}$$

in $L^1(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ as $n\to\infty$. Indeed

$$\langle\int_0^{t_i} D^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta, u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T = \int_0^T\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\left(\int_0^{t_i} D_\mu^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)u_s\phi(s-\mu)dsd\mu.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\Bigg[\Big|\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})\int_0^{t_i} D^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta, u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T\\ &\qquad -\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^s D_r^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)dr\right)ds\Big|\Bigg]\\ &=\mathbb{E}\Bigg[\Big|\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})u_s\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-\mu)\left(\int_0^{t_i} D_\mu^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)d\mu\right)ds\\ &\qquad -\textstyle\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^s D_r^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)dr\right)ds\Big|\Bigg]\\ &\to 0, \text{ as } n\to\infty\end{aligned}$$

by the dominate convergence theorem and the continuity of $\dfrac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}$. Observing that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left|\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})u_s\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-\mu)\left(\int_0^{t_i}D_\mu^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)d\mu\right)ds\right|$$
$$\le M\int_0^t|u_s|\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^T|D_r^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))|d\theta\right)dr\right)ds$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t|u_s|\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^T|D_r^H f(\theta,\eta(\theta))|d\theta\right)dr\right)ds\right]<\infty$$

Step 5 Analogously to the Step 4, we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})u\mathbf{1}_{[0,t_i]},u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T\to\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^s u_\theta\phi(s-\theta)d\theta\right)ds,$$

in $L^1(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ as $n\to\infty$.

Step 6 The term

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})\int_0^{t_i}D^H u_\theta\delta B^H(\theta),u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\rangle_T$$
$$\to\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^T\phi(s-r)\left(\int_0^s D_r^H u_\theta\delta B^H(\theta)\right)dr\right)ds$$

in $L^1(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$, as $n\to\infty$. Indeed, we can adapt the discussion of *step 3* in the proof of Theorem 8 [3], by using $\mathbb{E}|u_s|^2+\mathbb{E}|D_\tau^H u_s|^2+\mathbb{E}|D_{\tau_1}^H D_{\tau_2}^H u_s|^2\le C$, for all s,τ,τ_1,τ_2, where C is a suitable constant.

Step 7 The term

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}\to\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(\cdot,X_\cdot)u_\cdot\ \text{ in } L^1(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P;|\mathcal{H}|).$$

In fact

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})u\mathbf{1}_{[t_i,t_{i+1}]}-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(\cdot,X_\cdot)u_\cdot\right\|_{|\mathcal{H}|}^2$$
$$=\mathbb{E}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}}\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)\right)u_s\right|$$
$$\times\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(r,X_r)\right)u_r\right|\phi(s-r)dsdr,$$

which converges to 0 by the dominated convergence theorem and the continuity of $\dfrac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}$. Note that for $u\in\mathcal{V}_T$ and $\dfrac{\partial\psi}{\partial x},\dfrac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}$ bounded, we have $\dfrac{\partial\psi}{\partial x}u\in\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(|\mathcal{H}|)\subset Dom(\delta)$. Consequently, for $F\in\mathcal{P}_T$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left[F\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})u_s\delta B^H(s)\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[F\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\delta B^H(s)\right]$$

On the other hand, from steps 1-6, we know that $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(t_i,X_{t_i})u_s\delta B^H(s)$ converges in $L^1(\Omega,\mathcal{F},P)$ to

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t,X_t)-\psi(0,X_0)+\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\psi(s,X_s)ds+\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)f(s,X_s)ds\\ +H(2H-1)\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^T|s-r|^{2H-2}\left(\int_0^s D_rf(\theta,\eta(\theta))d\theta\right)dr\right)ds\\ +H(2H-1)\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^T|s-r|^{2H-2}\left(\int_0^s D_ru_\theta\delta B^H(\theta)\right)dr\right)ds\\ +H(2H-1)\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)u_s\left(\int_0^s u_\theta|s-\theta|^{2H-2}d\theta\right)ds,\end{aligned}$$

as $n\to\infty$, which allows to complete the proof. ■

In particular, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 36 *Let $f:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$ and $g:[0,T]\to\mathbb{R}$ be deterministic continuous functions. If*

$$X_t=X_0+\int_0^t g_sds+\int_0^t f_s\delta B^H(s),\ t\in[0,T],$$

and $\psi\in C^{1,2}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t,X_t)=\psi(0,X_0)+\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(s,X_s)ds+\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)dX_s\\ +\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)\ \left(\frac{d}{ds}\|f\|_s^2\right)ds,\ t\in[0,T].\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since f,g are deterministic function, they satisfy the condition of Theorem 34. Then from (67) and Remark 35 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(t,X_t)=\psi(0,X_0)+\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\psi(s,X_s)ds+\int_0^t\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\psi(s,X_s)dX_s\\ +\int_0^t\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(s,X_s)f_s\left(\int_0^s f_\theta\phi(s-\theta)d\theta\right)ds.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{d}{ds}\|f\|_s^2=\frac{d}{ds}\int_0^s\int_0^s\phi(u-v)f_uf_vdudv=2f_s\int_0^s\phi(u-s)f_udu,$$

which completes our proof. ■

Lemma 37 *If $Y\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^\alpha$ and ψ is a Lipschitz function, then $\psi(Y)\in\bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^\alpha$.*

Proof. We remark first that, since $Y \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}_T^{\alpha}$, there exists a sequence $(Y_n)_n \subset \mathcal{V}_T$ such that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \int_0^T t^{2\alpha-1}\mathbb{E}\left|Y_n(t) - Y(t)\right|^2 dt = 0$.

Let us set

$$\psi^{\delta}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x - \delta u)\rho(u)du, \ x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\delta > 0$ and $\rho(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$. We know that

$$|\psi^{\delta}(x) - \psi(x)| \ \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} \big|\psi(x - \delta u) - \psi(x)\big|\rho(u)du \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} K\delta|u|\rho(u)du \le M\delta\sqrt{2/\pi},$$

where K is a Lipschitz constant of ψ.

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T t^{2\alpha-1}\mathbb{E}|\psi^{\delta}(Y_n(t)) - \psi(Y(t))|^2 dt \\
&\quad \le 2\int_0^T t^{2\alpha-1}\mathbb{E}\big|\psi^{\delta}(Y_n(t)) - \psi(Y_n(t))\big|^2 dt + 2\int_0^T t^{2\alpha-1}\mathbb{E}\big|\psi(Y_n(t)) - \psi(Y(t))\big|^2 dt \\
&\quad \le \frac{4}{\pi}\int_0^T M^2\delta^2 t^{2\alpha-1} dt + 2M^2\int_0^T t^{2\alpha-1}\mathbb{E}|Y_n(t) - Y(t)|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^T t^{2\alpha-1}\mathbb{E}|\psi^{\delta}(Y_n(t)) - \psi(Y(t))|^2 dt \to 0, \text{ for } n \to \infty, \ \delta \to 0,$$

and the proof is completed by showing that $\psi^{\delta}(Y_n) \in \mathcal{V}_T$, for all n and $\delta > 0$.

First it is obvious that $\psi^{\delta} \in C^{\infty}$ and

$$|\psi^{\delta}(x) - \psi^{\delta}(y)| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi(x - \delta u) - \psi(y - \delta u)|\rho(u)du \le K|x - y|,$$

which implies that $\left|\frac{d}{dx}\psi^{\delta}(x)\right| \le K$.

Moreover, a straight-forward computation shows that the derivatives $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\psi^{\delta}(x)$ and $\frac{d^3}{dx^3}\psi^{\delta}(x)$ have polynomial growth. Recalling that Y_n belongs to $\mathcal{V}_T$, we complete the proof. ■

Acknowledgement The authors wish to express their thanks to Rainer Buckdahn, Yaozhong Hu, Shige Peng and Aurel Răşcanu for their useful suggestions and discussions.

References

[1] E. Alòs, O. Mazet, D. Nualart, *Stochastic calculus with respect to fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter lesser than* $\frac{1}{2}$, Stochastic Process. Appl. 86 (2000), pp. 121-139.

[2] E. Alòs, O. Mazet, D. Nualart, *Stochastic calculus with respect to Gaussian processes*, Ann. Probab., 29 (2001), pp. 766-801.

[3] E. Alòs, D. Nualart, *Stochastic integration with respect to the fractional Brownian motion,* Stochastics, 75 (2003), pp. 129-152.

[4] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leiden, 1976.

[5] F. Biagini, Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, T. Zhang, *Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion and applications*, Springer, London, 2006.

[6] L. Decreusefond, A.S. Üstünel, *Stochastic analysis of the fractional Brownian motion*, Potential Anal., 10 (1998), pp. 177-214.

[7] T.E. Duncan,Y. Hu, B. Pasik-Duncan, *Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion I, Theory*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 38 (2000), pp. 582-612.

[8] Y. Hu, *Integral Transformations and Anticipative Calculus for Fractional Brownian Motion*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 175 (2005), no. 825.

[9] Y. Hu, B. Øksendal, *Fractional white noise calculus and applications to finance*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top., 6 (2003), pp. 1-32.

[10] Y. Hu, S. Peng, *Backward stochastic differential equation driven by fractional Brownian motion*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 48 (2009), pp. 1675-1700.

[11] J. Memin, Y. Mishura, E. Valkeila, *Inequalities for the moments of Wiener integrals with respect to fractional Brownian motions*, Statist. Probab. Lett., 55 (2001), pp. 421–430.

[12] Y. Mishura, *Stochastic calculus for fractional Brownian motion and related processes*, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[13] D. Nualart, *The Malliavin Calculus and Related Topics* (2nd ed.), Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[14] D. Nualart, E. Pardoux, *Stochastic calculus with anticipating integrands*, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 78 (1988), pp. 535-581.

[15] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, *Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations*, in Stochastic PDE and Their Applications, B.L. Rozovski, R.B. Sowers eds., LNCIS 176, Springer, 1992, pp. 200-217.

[16] E. Pardoux, A. Rǎşcanu, *Backward stochastic differential equations with subdifferential operators and related variational inequalities*, Stochastic Process. Appl., 76 (1998), pp. 191-215.

[17] E. Pardoux, A. Rǎşcanu, *Stochastic differential equations, Backward SDEs, Partial differential equations*, in Springer, submitted, 2011.

[18] L.C. Young, *An inequality of the Höder type connected with Stieltjes integration*, Acta Math. 67 (1936), pp. 251-282.